

Q1300 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of [INSERT PRESIDENT NAME] at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 1

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country						
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Unweighted Base	6691	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002
Weighted Base	6691	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002
Support (NET)	2039 30%	270 25%	364 28%	349 32% B	388 35% BCF	319 29%	348 35% BCF
(4) Completely support	682 10%	67 6%	103 8% G	170 16% BCG	170 15% BCG	135 12% BCG	38 4%
(3) Support more than oppose	1356 20%	203 19%	261 20%	179 16%	218 20%	184 17%	310 31% BCDEF
Oppose (NET)	3182 48%	471 43%	693 54% BEG	525 48% E	433 39%	613 55% BDEG	446 45% E
(2) Oppose more than support	1572 24%	221 20%	355 28% BDE	183 17%	239 21%	273 25% D	302 30% BDEF
(1) Completely oppose	1609 24%	249 23% EG	339 26% EG	343 32% BEG	194 17%	339 31% BEG	145 14%
Not sure	1470 22%	347 32% CDFG	231 18%	212 20%	299 27% CDFG	174 16%	208 21% F
Mean	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4 BCDFG	2.1	2.3 BCF
Std. Dev.	1.02	0.98	0.97	1.16	1.06	1.06	0.82
Sigma	6691 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 2

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
A lot	3453 45%	480 44% DEG	1077 84% BDEFGH	373 34% EG	226 20%	560 51% BDEG	268 27% E	470 46% DEG
A little	3737 49%	479 44% C	202 16%	652 60% BCFH	759 68% BCDFH	485 44% C	657 66% BCFH	503 50% C
Nothing at all	512 7%	129 12% CDFGH	9 1%	62 6% C	135 12% CDFGH	61 6% C	77 8% CH	38 4% C
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 3

What do you think...?

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country						
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Unweighted Base	6691	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002
Weighted Base	6691	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	4479 67%	577 53%	860 67% B	813 75% BCEG	719 64% B	822 74% BCEG	687 69% B
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	405 6%	52 5%	122 9% BDG	38 3%	76 7% D	72 7% D	46 5%
Not at all sure	1806 27%	459 42% CDEFG	306 24%	236 22%	325 29% CDF	212 19%	269 27% DF
Sigma	6691 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of [INSERT COUNTRY]'s foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 4

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	5459 71%	684 63% GH	1037 80% BGH	927 85% BCEGH	867 77% BGH	930 84% BEGH	563 56% H	452 45%
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	1101 14%	147 14% DEF	136 11% DF	66 6%	85 8%	72 6%	208 21% BCDEF	388 38% BCDEFG
Not at all sure	1141 15%	256 24% CDEFH	115 9%	94 9%	169 15% CDF	104 9%	231 23% CDEFH	171 17% CDF
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320 Is the gap between the rich and poor in [INSERT COUNTRY] too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 5

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Too wide	6112 79%	861 79% G	1095 85% BEGH	892 82% EG	849 76% G	960 87% BDEGH	644 64%	810 80% G
About right	883 11%	90 8%	124 10%	84 8%	149 13% BCDF	84 8%	204 20% BCDEFH	148 15% BCDF
Too narrow	184 2%	22 2%	21 2%	14 1%	21 2%	11 1%	77 8% BCDEFH	19 2%
Not sure	522 7%	115 11% CFH	47 4%	97 9% CFH	101 9% CFH	52 5%	77 8% CFH	34 3%
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 6

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Increase	6016 78%	845 78% H	1093 85% BDEH	828 76% H	844 75% H	983 89% BCDEGH	814 81% DEH	610 60%
Stay the same	763 10%	105 10% F	119 9% F	111 10% F	152 14% BCFH	49 4%	139 14% BCFH	87 9% F
Decrease	442 6%	37 3% G	23 2%	53 5% CG	58 5% CG	39 4% CG	10 1% BCDEFG	222 22%
Not sure	480 6%	100 9% CEFG	53 4%	95 9% CFG	66 6% F	35 3%	39 4%	92 9% CFG
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in [INSERT COUNTRY]? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? 16 May 2008
Table 7

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
The government should tax the wealthy more	4725 61%	607 56%	659 51%	638 59% C	732 65% BCD	706 64% BC	772 77% BCDEFH	611 60% C
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	1872 24%	299 27% DEG	381 30% DEG	237 22% G	220 20% G	272 25% EG	143 14%	320 32% DEFG
The government should tax the wealthy less	498 6%	69 6% H	147 11% BEFGH	114 11% BEFGH	54 5% H	57 5% H	41 4% H	15 2%
Not sure	607 8%	113 10% FGH	101 8% G	97 9% G	114 10% FGH	71 6%	46 5%	64 6%
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1335 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in [INSERT COUNTRY]? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less
 or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? 16 May 2008
Table 8

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
The government should tax those on a low income less	6134 80%	807 74% C	882 69%	936 86% BCG	919 82% BCG	917 83% BCG	763 76% C	909 90% BCEFG
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	1018 13%	170 16% DEFH	285 22% BDEFH	72 7%	97 9%	125 11% D	191 19% DEFH	79 8%
The government should tax those on a low income more	130 2%	21 2% H	41 3% FGH	20 2% H	19 2%	12 1%	12 1%	4 *
Not sure	420 5%	90 8% FGH	80 6% GH	58 5% H	86 8% FGH	51 5% H	37 4%	18 2%
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1340 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in [INSERT COUNTRY] to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 9

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Expect house prices to come down	2404 31%	593 55% CDEFGH	292 23%	276 25% F	537 48% CDEFGH	204 18%	229 23%	273 27% F
Expect house prices to go up	2315 30%	164 15%	346 27% BE	417 38% BCEG	163 15%	440 40% BCEG	318 32% BE	467 46% BCDEFG
Expect house prices to remain the same	2301 30%	226 21% BDEFGH	554 43%	297 27% B	356 32% BH	312 28% BH	336 34% BDFH	220 22%
Not sure	682 9%	104 10% EH	96 7%	97 9% H	64 6%	149 13% BCDEH	120 12% CEH	51 5%
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345 How much responsibility should the government of [INSERT COUNTRY] take to ensure that the values of homes in [INSERT COUNTRY] do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 10

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
It should have some responsibility	3468 45%	571 53% CDEF	555 43% E	418 38% E	276 25%	460 42% E	604 60% BCDEF	584 58% CDEF
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	2165 28%	249 23% GH	553 43% BDFGH	322 30% BGH	432 39% BDGH	385 35% BGH	149 15% H	75 7%
It should have a lot of responsibility	2069 27%	268 25% C	180 14%	347 32% BCFG	412 37% BCFG	261 24% C	249 25% C	352 35% BCFG
Sigma	7701 100%	1088 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1120 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 11

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Unweighted Base	7702	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
US	2783 36%	357 33% DG	390 30% DG	221 20%	466 42% BCDFG	322 29% DG	225 22%	802 79% BCDEFG
China	1902 25%	208 19% H	407 32% BEFH	370 34% BEFH	199 18% H	257 23% EH	411 41% BCDEFH	51 5%
Iran	903 12%	124 11% GH	215 17% BGH	220 20% BEFGH	147 13% GH	141 13% GH	23 2%	33 3%
North Korea	622 8%	104 10% CDEFH	79 6% DH	36 3%	64 6% H	63 6% H	261 26% BCDEFH	15 2%
Iraq	580 8%	110 10% CGH	76 6% GH	113 10% CGH	111 10% CGH	127 11% CGH	21 2%	23 2%
Russia	253 3%	65 6% CDEGH	43 3% EH	30 3% H	14 1%	66 6% CDEGH	29 3% EH	7 1%
Israel	18 *	1 *	* *	2 *	* *	13 1% BCEGH	1 *	-
India	12 *	5 *	* *	1 *	3 *	1 *	-	2 *
Pakistan	8 *	4 *	1 *	* *	3 *	1 *	-	-
Africa (country not specified)	7 *	-	2 *	-	-	4 *	* *	* *
Afghanistan	3 *	* *	1 *	2 *	-	-	-	-
Middle East (country not specified)	3 *	-	-	1 *	-	1 *	1 *	-
Saudi Arabia	3 *	1 *	1 *	-	* *	-	-	-
No one county - all are a threat	2 *	-	-	* *	1 *	-	-	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1350 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 11

Base: All Adults

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Country							
	Total	Great Britain	France	Italy	Spain	Germany	Japan	China
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Weighted Base	7701	1088	1288	1087	1120	1106	1002	1011
No country - terrorist organisations	1*	-	-	-	-	-	*	1
France	1*	-	*	-	-	1*	-	-
Turkey	1*	-	-	-	-	1*	-	-
Other	18*	* *	1*	-	2*	7 1%	1*	7 1%
None	572 7%	107 10% CG	70 5% G	89 8% G	106 9% CG	103 9% CG	26 3%	70 7% G
Not sure	8*	5*	1*	-	* *	-	2*	-
Not stated / not answered / refused	7*	-	* *	* *	5*	-	2*	-
Sigma	7707 100%	1091 100%	1288 100%	1087 100%	1122 100%	1106 100%	1002 100%	1011 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G/H
 Overlap formulae used.

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1300_1 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Gordon Brown at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 12

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Support (NET)	270 25%	139 26%	131 24%	48 27%	73 27%	63 25%	51 25%	35 20%	94 29%	81 22%	95 24%	119 31% M	151 22%	31 21%	91 28%	100 30%
(4) Completely support	67 6%	40 7%	27 5%	8 4%	15 5%	19 7%	17 8%	8 5%	27 8%	20 5%	20 5%	27 7%	40 6%	2 2%	24 8%	28 8%
(3) Support more than oppose	203 19%	99 19%	104 19%	40 22%	58 21%	44 17%	34 17%	27 15%	67 21%	61 17%	75 19%	93 24% M	110 16%	28 19%	67 21%	72 21%
Oppose (NET)	471 43%	262 49% C	209 38%	54 30%	98 36%	113 44% D	98 48% D	107 61% DEF	127 39%	169 46%	174 44%	139 36%	331 47% L	80 53%	134 42%	151 44%
(2) Oppose more than support	221 20%	107 20%	115 21%	28 16%	51 19%	45 18%	50 24%	47 27%	55 17%	83 23%	83 21%	63 16%	158 23%	29 19%	63 20%	84 25%
(1) Completely oppose	249 23%	155 29% C	95 17%	26 15%	47 17%	68 27% D	49 24%	59 34% DE	72 22%	87 24%	91 23%	76 20%	173 25%	51 34% P	70 22%	67 20%
Not sure	347 32%	132 25%	216 39% B	76 43% GH	103 38% H	79 31%	56 27%	33 19%	103 32%	116 32%	128 32%	131 34%	216 31%	39 26%	95 30%	88 26%
Mean	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3 H	2.2 H	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.3 M	2.0	1.8	2.2 N	2.2 N
Std. Dev.	0.98	1.01	0.93	0.94	0.95	1.03	1.00	0.91	1.03	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.96	0.88	1.00	0.97
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1300_2 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Nicolas Sarkozy at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 13

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
Support (NET)	364 28%	195 31%	169 26%	60 27%	61 20%	109 34%	59 27%	76 35%	80 31%	90 29%	60 26%	19 23%	79 30%	34 27%	2 40%	133 26%	231 30%	75 23%	170 30%	44 33%
(4) Completely support	103 8%	67 10%	36 6%	22 10%	14 5%	23 7%	25 11%	19 9%	20 8%	27 9%	17 7%	1 2%	24 9%	12 10%	2 40%	41 8%	62 8%	20 6%	44 8%	18 14%
(3) Support more than oppose	261 20%	128 20%	133 20%	38 17%	46 15%	86 27%	34 16%	57 26%	60 23%	63 20%	43 19%	18 21%	56 21%	21 17%	-	92 18%	170 22%	55 17%	126 22%	26 20%
Oppose (NET)	693 54%	348 55%	345 53%	121 54%	181 58%	166 52%	118 54%	107 49%	139 54%	157 50%	125 54%	51 60%	146 54%	73 58%	3 60%	278 54%	416 54%	179 55%	330 57%	69 52%
(2) Oppose more than support	355 28%	179 28%	175 27%	74 33%	110 36%	63 20%	53 24%	53 25%	83 32%	75 24%	64 28%	27 32%	71 26%	33 26%	2 33%	141 28%	213 28%	79 25%	182 32%	32 24%
(1) Completely oppose	339 26%	169 26%	170 26%	47 21%	70 23%	103 32%	65 30%	54 25%	56 22%	82 26%	60 26%	24 29%	75 28%	40 31%	1 28%	137 27%	202 26%	100 31%	148 26%	37 28%
Not sure	231 18%	94 15%	136 21%	44 20%	69 22%	42 13%	42 19%	34 16%	38 15%	68 21%	47 20%	15 17%	43 16%	20 16%	-	102 20%	129 17%	69 21%	77 13%	19 14%
Mean	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.2
Std. Dev.	0.97	1.01	0.93	0.96	0.85	1.01	1.06	0.98	0.92	1.01	0.96	0.83	0.99	1.02	1.40	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.94	1.08
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1300_3 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Silvio Berlusconi at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 14

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Support (NET)	349 32%	177 33%	172 31%	68 37% F	104 40% F	43 19%	70 33% F	64 32% F	161 29%	70 35%	118 37%	105 32%	244 32%	52 28%	101 32%	42 34%
(4) Completely support	170 16%	91 17%	79 14%	40 22% F	52 20% F	16 7%	30 14%	32 16%	69 12%	33 16%	68 21% I	45 14%	126 17%	35 19%	55 18%	23 18%
(3) Support more than oppose	179 16%	86 16%	93 17%	28 15%	52 20%	27 12%	40 18%	33 16%	92 16%	37 18%	50 16%	61 18%	118 16%	17 9%	46 15%	19 15%
Oppose (NET)	525 48%	277 52%	248 45%	66 36%	111 42%	131 57% DE	111 52% D	106 53% D	293 52%	101 50%	131 41%	139 42%	386 51%	85 46%	159 51%	67 53%
(2) Oppose more than support	183 17%	92 17%	91 16%	16 9%	35 13%	50 22% D	28 13%	53 26% DEG	86 15%	37 18%	60 19%	44 13%	138 18%	35 19%	49 16%	26 21%
(1) Completely oppose	343 32%	186 35%	157 29%	50 28%	76 29%	81 35%	83 39%	53 27%	207 37% K	64 32%	71 22%	95 29%	248 33%	51 28%	110 35%	41 33%
Not sure	212 20%	82 15%	130 24% B	48 26%	47 18%	55 24%	32 15%	31 15%	111 20%	32 16%	70 22%	84 26% M	128 17%	47 26%	53 17%	16 13%
Mean	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4 F	2.4 F	1.9	2.1	2.2 F	2.1	2.2	2.5 I	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.2
Std. Dev.	1.16	1.17	1.14	1.26	1.20	0.99	1.16	1.09	1.13	1.15	1.17	1.15	1.16	1.21	1.19	1.16
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1300_4 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Jose Luis Rodriguez Zapatero at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 15

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Support (NET)	388	202	186	77	107	64	79	61	37	72	87	67	35	59	30	132	257	98	157	25
	35%	36%	33%	36%	38%	28%	41%	31%	32%	34%	41%	33%	24%	37%	43%	37%	33%	37%	40%	29%
(4) Completely support	170	99	71	38	51	19	31	31	12	28	37	39	14	22	16	58	112	40	76	15
	15%	18%	13%	18%	18%	8%	16%	15%	10%	13%	18%	19%	10%	14%	24%	16%	15%	15%	19%	17%
(3) Support more than oppose	218	104	115	39	56	45	48	30	25	44	50	29	21	37	14	74	144	57	81	11
	20%	19%	20%	18%	20%	20%	25%	15%	21%	21%	23%	14%	14%	23%	20%	21%	19%	21%	20%	12%
Oppose (NET)	433	241	193	76	97	91	86	83	57	82	84	80	69	42	19	141	292	93	177	47
	39%	43%	34%	35%	34%	40%	45%	42%	48%	39%	40%	40%	47%	26%	28%	40%	38%	35%	44%	54%
(2) Oppose more than support	239	120	119	46	47	46	58	42	36	51	46	34	38	23	11	70	169	51	100	23
	21%	21%	21%	21%	17%	20%	30%	21%	30%	24%	21%	17%	26%	15%	16%	20%	22%	19%	25%	27%
(1) Completely oppose	194	121	74	30	50	45	28	41	21	30	39	46	31	19	8	71	123	43	77	24
	17%	22%	13%	14%	18%	20%	14%	21%	18%	14%	18%	23%	21%	12%	12%	20%	16%	16%	19%	28%
Not sure	299	115	184	64	80	74	27	54	25	58	42	54	42	58	20	81	218	76	64	14
	27%	21%	33%	29%	28%	32%	14%	27%	21%	27%	20%	27%	29%	37%	29%	23%	28%	28%	16%	16%
Mean	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.2
				F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	M	M	M	M	M	M	M
Std. Dev.	1.06	1.11	1.01	1.07	1.11	1.01	0.98	1.11	0.96	1.01	1.07	1.18	1.02	1.03	1.10	1.09	1.05	1.06	1.08	1.12
Sigma	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192	198	119	212	213	202	146	159	70	353	767	267	398	87
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1300_5 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Angela Merkel at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 16

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Support (NET)	319 29%	161 29%	158 29%	79 47% EFGH	86 31%	49 22%	59 25%	45 23%	163 25%	23 27%	18 26%	76 38% I	39 38% I	69 28%	251 29%	76 26%	88 27%	43 32%
(4) Completely support	135 12%	79 14%	56 10%	37 22% FGH	39 14%	20 9%	21 9%	19 10%	68 11%	13 15%	7 10%	31 15%	16 15%	33 13%	102 12%	30 11%	30 9%	20 15%
(3) Support more than oppose	184 17%	82 15%	102 19%	43 25% FH	47 17%	30 13%	38 16%	26 14%	94 15%	10 12%	11 15%	46 23%	23 23%	36 14%	148 17%	46 16%	58 17%	22 17%
Oppose (NET)	613 55%	315 57%	298 54%	70 42%	138 50%	143 63% DE	131 55%	131 67% DEG	388 60% L	46 53%	32 45%	98 48%	49 48%	142 57%	470 55%	169 59%	193 58%	80 60%
(2) Oppose more than support	273 25%	128 23%	146 27%	36 22%	72 26%	70 31%	52 22%	42 22%	179 28% KM	19 21%	9 13%	51 25%	16 16%	71 29%	202 24%	80 28%	90 27%	32 24%
(1) Completely oppose	339 31%	187 34%	152 28%	34 20%	66 24%	72 32%	79 33% D	88 46% DEFG	209 32%	28 31%	23 32%	47 23%	34 33%	71 29%	268 31%	88 31%	103 31%	47 36%
Not sure	174 16%	80 14%	93 17%	19 11% H	53 19% H	34 15%	50 21% H	18 9%	94 15%	18 21%	21 30% ILM	27 14%	13 13%	38 15%	136 16%	43 15%	51 15%	10 8%
Mean	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.5 FGH	2.3 FH	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.3 I	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
Std. Dev.	1.06	1.10	1.02	1.09	1.07	0.97	1.03	1.03	1.02	1.14	1.13	1.06	1.14	1.05	1.06	1.02	1.00	1.11
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1300_6 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Yasuo Fukuda at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 17

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Support (NET)	348 35%	198 37%	150 32%	54 36%	82 34%	73 36%	69 35%	71 33%	57 35%	123 36%	68 32%	16 32%	15 31%	34 40%	4 24%	11 44%	20 36%
(4) Completely support	38 4%	24 5%	14 3%	8 6%	10 4%	4 2%	5 2%	11 5%	13 8%	15 4%	6 3%	-	-	3 4%	* 2%	1 3%	* 1%
(3) Support more than oppose	310 31%	174 33%	136 29%	46 31%	71 30%	69 34%	64 32%	60 28%	44 27%	108 32%	62 29%	16 32%	15 31%	31 36%	4 22%	10 41%	19 35%
Oppose (NET)	446 45%	277 52% C	169 36%	53 36%	86 36%	86 42%	107 54% DEF	114 53% DE	64 39%	155 45% L	110 52% L	13 25%	26 52% L	39 45%	6 39%	8 35%	26 47%
(2) Oppose more than support	302 30%	165 31%	137 29%	32 22%	63 26%	60 29%	69 35% D	78 37% D	41 25%	93 27%	80 37% JL	9 17%	20 40% L	28 33%	4 26%	6 26%	20 37%
(1) Completely oppose	145 14%	112 21% C	33 7%	21 14%	23 10%	27 13%	38 19% E	35 17%	23 14%	61 18%	30 14%	4 8%	6 13%	11 12%	2 12%	2 8%	6 10%
Not sure	208 21%	53 10%	154 33% B	41 28% GH	72 30% GH	46 22% G	22 11%	28 13%	42 26%	66 19%	36 17% JKMNQ	22 43% Q	8 17%	12 14%	6 37%	5 21%	10 17%
Mean	2.3	2.2	2.4 B	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.3
Std. Dev.	0.82	0.87	0.73	0.89	0.80	0.78	0.81	0.83	0.91	0.87	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.79	0.83	0.75	0.70
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1300_6 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Yasuo Fukuda at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008?

16 May 2008
 Table 18

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Support (NET)	348 35%	77 37%	77 33%	61 35%	64 39%	38 32%	32 31%	153 36%	38 35%	41 33%	36 41%	74 29%	8 37%
(4) Completely support	38 4%	11 5%	9 4%	9 5%	6 3%	1 1%	2 2%	15 4%	7 L 7%	5 4%	5 6%	4 2%	2 9%
(3) Support more than oppose	310 31%	66 32%	68 29%	52 29%	58 36%	37 32%	29 28%	138 33%	31 29%	36 28%	31 35%	70 27%	6 28%
Oppose (NET)	446 45%	91 44%	111 47%	68 39%	73 45%	67 58% DG	37 35%	187 45%	58 54% K	60 47%	32 36%	107 42%	11 51%
(2) Oppose more than support	302 30%	60 29%	81 34%	52 30%	41 25%	41 35%	27 26%	121 29%	34 31%	44 35%	19 21%	85 33%	4 17%
(1) Completely oppose	145 14%	31 15%	30 13%	16 9%	32 20% D	26 23% DG	9 9%	65 16% L	24 22% L	16 13%	13 15%	22 8%	8 34%
Not sure	208 21%	41 19%	48 20%	47 27% EF	26 16%	12 10%	35 34% BCEF	80 19%	12 11%	25 20%	20 23%	74 29% HI	3 12%
Mean	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4 F	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.1
Std. Dev.	0.82	0.85	0.79	0.80	0.87	0.79	0.77	0.83	0.91	0.80	0.89	0.71	1.07
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305_1 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 20

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
A lot	480 44%	302 57% C	178 32%	73 41%	90 33%	119 47% E	109 53% E	88 51% E	150 46% J	129 35%	201 51% J	148 38%	332 48% L	64 43%	126 39%	169 50%
A little	479 44%	198 37%	280 50% B	67 38%	148 54% DFG	105 41%	76 37%	82 47%	119 37%	200 54% IK	160 40%	189 49%	290 41%	63 42%	154 48%	138 41%
Nothing at all	129 12%	31 6%	98 18% B	38 21% GH	35 13% H	31 12% H	21 10%	5 3%	55 17% K	38 10%	36 9%	52 13%	77 11%	23 15%	40 12%	32 10%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305_2 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 21

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France														Presence of children in household		Income			
	Gender			Age					Region						Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper	
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West						Medi-terranean
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)						
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
A lot	1077 84%	555 87% C	521 80%	190 85%	248 80%	274 86%	172 78%	193 89% G	228 89% LM	263 83%	203 87%	61 72%	213 80%	105 84%	4 67%	438 86%	638 82%	257 80%	476 83%	125 95% RS
A little	202 16%	78 12%	124 19% B	34 15%	56 18%	43 14%	45 20%	24 11%	29 11%	49 16%	26 11%	21 25%	55 20% I	20 16%	2 33%	71 14%	132 17%	62 19% T	96 17% T	7 5%
Nothing at all	9 1%	4 1%	5 1%	-	7 2%	-	2 1%	-	-	3 1%	4 2%	2 3%	-	-	-	3 1%	6 1%	4 1%	5 1%	-
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305_3 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 22

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
A lot	373 34%	239 44% C	135 24%	49 27%	65 25%	75 33%	98 46% DE	86 42% DE	200 35%	58 29%	115 36%	93 28%	280 37%	56 30%	113 36%	55 43%
A little	652 60%	277 52%	374 68% B	112 62%	180 69% G	135 59%	109 51%	115 57%	338 60%	133 65%	181 57%	213 65%	439 58%	104 56%	193 62%	70 56%
Nothing at all	62 6%	20 4%	42 8%	20 11% GH	17 6% H	18 8% H	7 3%	1	27 5%	13 6%	22 7%	23 7%	39 5%	24 13% OP	6 2%	1 1%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305_4 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 23

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
A lot	226 20%	134 24% C	92 16%	33 15%	54 19%	41 18%	48 25%	50 25%	28 24%	32 15%	50 23%	39 19%	33 23%	33 21%	10 15%	74 21%	152 20%	46 17%	91 23%	35 40% RS
A little	759 68%	366 66%	393 70%	134 62%	201 71%	163 71%	126 66%	134 68%	79 67%	153 72%	148 70%	133 66%	92 63%	107 67%	46 66%	227 64%	532 69%	166 62%	289 73% T	47 55%
Nothing at all	135 12%	59 10%	76 14%	51 23% EFGH	28 10%	25 11%	17 9%	14 7%	12 10%	27 13%	15 7%	29 14%	20 14%	19 12%	13 19%	52 15%	83 11%	55 21% ST	18 5%	4 5%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305_5 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 24

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
A lot	560 51%	329 59% C	231 42%	75 44%	120 43%	110 48%	122 51%	134 69% DEFG	341 53%	44 50%	34 48%	91 45%	50 49%	96 38%	464 54% N	143 50%	174 53%	98 74% PQ
A little	485 44%	203 37%	282 51% B	78 46% H	139 50% H	110 49% H	103 43% H	55 28%	276 43%	36 42%	32 45%	94 46%	47 46%	135 54% O	350 41%	128 44% R	144 43% R	34 26%
Nothing at all	61 6%	24 4%	37 7%	16 9%	18 7%	7 3%	15 6%	5 3%	27 4%	7 8%	5 7%	17 8%	6 5%	18 7%	43 5%	16 6%	13 4%	1
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305_6 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 25

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
A lot	268 27%	192 36% C	77 16%	31 21%	57 24%	42 21%	79 40% DEFH	58 27%	35 22%	110 32%	54 25%	12 24%	11 23%	26 31%	6 34%	5 20%	9 16%
A little	657 66%	308 58%	349 74% B	97 66%	161 67%	144 70% G	111 56%	144 68%	123 75% JLN	211 61%	143 67%	29 56%	35 71%	50 59%	9 54%	17 70%	40 73%
Nothing at all	77 8%	29 6%	48 10% B	20 13% GH	22 9%	19 9%	7 4%	10 5%	5 3%	23 7%	17 8%	10 19% IJ	3 7%	9 10%	2 12%	2 10%	6 11%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1305_6 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 26

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
A lot	268 27%	50 24%	58 25%	41 23%	49 30%	52 45%	20 19%	136 32%	43 40%	18 14%	19 21%	50 20%	6 27%
A little	657 66%	142 68%	157 66%	118 67%	105 65%	62 54%	73 70%	258 62%	63 59%	98 78%	57 65%	176 69%	16 73%
Nothing at all	77 8%	17 8%	21 9%	18 10%	9 6%	2 2%	11 11%	26 6%	1 1%	10 8%	13 14%	29 11%	-
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1305_7 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule?

16 May 2008
 Table 28

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender		Age					Region					Tier		Presence Of Children In Household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
A lot	470 46%	283 49%	186 44%	233 52%	154 43%	50 51%	29 37%	4 12%	162 41%	92 50%	100 62% IL	116 42%	264 42%	102 60% M	104 48%	229 49%	241 44%	149 48%	237 45%	55 49%
A little	503 50%	276 47%	227 53%	197 44%	198 56% D	48 48%	40 51%	21 66%	209 53% K	90 49%	55 34%	149 54% K	336 54% N	62 37%	105 49%	224 48%	279 51%	143 46%	269 52%	54 48%
Nothing at all	38 4%	24 4%	14 3%	18 4%	4 1%	1 1%	9 11% EF	7 22%	20 5%	3 1%	6 4%	9 3%	24 4%	6 3%	8 3%	10 2%	28 5%	18 6%	16 3%	3 3%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310_1 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 29

What do you think...?

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	577 53%	337 63% C	240 43%	74 41%	109 40%	150 59% DE	131 64% DE	112 64% DE	164 51%	179 49%	235 59%	191 49%	386 55%	79 53%	160 50%	203 60%
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	52 5%	34 6%	18 3%	14 8%	14 5%	12 5%	8 4%	5 3%	15 5%	24 6%	14 3%	19 5%	33 5%	9 6%	16 5%	17 5%
Not at all sure	459 42%	160 30%	298 54% B	91 51% FGH	150 55% FGH	94 37%	67 32%	58 33%	145 45%	164 45%	149 38%	180 46%	279 40%	62 42%	144 45%	120 35%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310_2 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 30

What do you think...?

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	860 67%	398 63%	462 71% B	146 65%	211 68%	227 71% G	129 59%	148 68%	163 63%	216 69%	165 71%	62 73%	163 61%	88 70%	3 60%	349 68%	511 66%	223 69%	398 69%	85 64%
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	122 9%	88 14% C	33 5%	33 15% E	17 6%	24 8%	23 11%	24 11%	26 10%	25 8%	14 6%	2 2%	34 13%	19 15%	2 40%	42 8%	79 10%	28 9%	51 9%	20 16%
Not at all sure	306 24%	151 24%	155 24%	46 20%	82 26%	66 21%	67 31%	45 21%	68 26%	74 23%	53 23%	21 25%	71 26%	19 15%	-	120 24%	185 24%	71 22%	128 22%	26 20%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310_3 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 31

What do you think...?

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	813 75%	412 77%	402 73%	123 68%	194 74%	174 76%	168 78%	155 77%	443 78% K	154 76%	217 68%	240 73%	573 76%	121 66%	243 78%	98 78%
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	38 3%	27 5%	11 2%	9 5%	10 4%	8 3%	8 4%	4 2%	15 3%	7 4%	16 5%	9 3%	29 4%	8 4%	14 4%	7 6%
Not at all sure	236 22%	98 18%	138 25%	49 27%	58 22%	47 21%	38 18%	43 21%	107 19%	43 21%	86 27%	79 24%	157 21%	55 30% OP	55 18%	20 16%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310_4 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 32

What do you think...?

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	719 64%	372 67%	347 62%	124 57%	167 59%	141 62%	142 74% DE	144 73% DE	81 68%	136 64%	144 68%	116 57%	101 69%	103 64%	40 57%	219 62%	500 65%	157 59%	286 72% R	67 77% R
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	76 7%	52 9% C	24 4%	19 9% H	28 10% H	8 4%	16 8%	4 2%	6 5%	14 7%	20 10%	11 6%	12 9%	8 5%	4 6%	29 8%	47 6%	23 9%	20 5%	4 5%
Not at all sure	325 29%	134 24%	191 34% B	73 34% G	88 31% G	80 35% G	34 18%	50 25%	32 27%	62 29%	49 23%	75 37% K	33 23%	48 30%	26 37%	105 30%	220 29%	86 32% T	92 23%	16 18%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310_5 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 33

What do you think...?

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	822 74%	407 73%	415 75%	121 72%	188 68%	168 75%	181 75%	164 84% DE	481 75%	67 77%	52 73%	144 71%	78 76%	168 67%	654 76% N	221 77%	255 77%	101 76%
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	72 7%	62 11% C	10 2%	16 9%	16 6%	18 8%	12 5%	10 5%	38 6%	5 6%	5 7%	17 8%	7 7%	20 8%	52 6%	11 4%	22 7%	18 14% P
Not at all sure	212 19%	87 16%	125 23% B	32 19%	73 27% H	39 17%	47 20% H	20 10%	124 19%	15 17%	14 20%	41 20%	17 17%	62 25%	150 18%	56 19%	55 17%	13 10%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310_6 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 34

What do you think...?

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	687 69%	401 76% C	286 60%	96 65%	158 66%	133 65%	152 77% DEF	148 70%	106 65%	234 68%	148 69%	31 61%	38 76%	58 68%	15 94%	17 72%	41 73%
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	46 5%	37 7% C	9 2%	14 9% EG	8 3%	7 3%	6 3%	11 5%	5 3%	20 6%	10 5%	1 2%	3 5%	5 6%	-	* 1%	3 5%
Not at all sure	269 27%	91 17%	178 38% B	38 26%	74 31% G	64 31% G	39 20%	53 25%	53 32%	90 26%	56 26%	19 38%	9 18%	22 26%	1 6%	6 26%	12 22%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1310_6 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule.

16 May 2008
 Table 35

What do you think...?

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Tibet should not be under Chinese rule	687 69%	146 70%	157 66%	123 70%	116 71%	86 74% G	60 58%	301 72% L	92 85% HJKL	87 69%	57 64%	153 60%	13 57%
Tibet should be under Chinese rule	46 5%	11 5%	14 6%	10 6%	7 4%	3 2%	1 1%	22 5% L	5 5%	3 2%	10 11% JL	3 1%	2 10%
Not at all sure	269 27%	51 24%	65 28%	43 25%	40 24%	27 24%	43 41% BCDEF	96 23% I	10 10%	36 29% I	22 25% I	99 39% HI	7 33%
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315_1 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of the UK's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 37

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	684 63%	341 64%	343 62%	118 66%	160 59%	172 67%	121 59%	112 64%	205 63%	216 59%	263 66%	251 64%	433 62%	97 64%	177 55%	252 74% O
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	147 14%	100 19% C	47 9%	15 8%	37 14%	32 12%	40 19% D	24 14%	45 14%	56 15%	46 12%	53 14%	95 14%	19 12%	64 20% P	36 11%
Not at all sure	256 24%	91 17%	165 30% B	46 26%	76 28%	52 20%	44 22%	39 22%	74 23%	95 26%	88 22%	86 22%	171 24%	35 23%	79 25% P	52 15%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315_2 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of France's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 38

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France														Presence of children in household		Income			
	Gender			Age					Region						Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper	
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West						Medi-terranean
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)						
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	1037 80%	501 79%	536 82%	180 80%	252 81%	259 82%	174 79%	172 80%	215 84%	239 76%	199 86%	63 74%	206 77%	110 87%	5 100%	411 80%	626 81%	255 79%	480 83%	100 76%
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	136 11%	96 15% C	40 6%	24 11%	25 8%	33 10%	20 9%	34 16%	23 9%	41 13%	15 6%	12 14%	35 13%	10 8%	-	50 10%	86 11%	24 7%	64 11%	27 20% RS
Not at all sure	115 9%	40 6%	75 12% B	21 9%	33 11%	25 8%	25 12%	10 5%	19 7%	35 11%	19 8%	10 12%	27 10%	6 5%	-	51 10%	64 8%	43 13% ST	33 6%	4 3%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315_3 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Italy's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 39

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	927 85%	451 84%	476 86%	141 78%	228 87%	191 83%	187 88%	180 89% D	487 86%	177 87%	262 82%	263 80%	663 87% L	142 77%	269 86%	116 92% N
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	66 6%	47 9% C	19 3%	19 11%	16 6%	9 4%	13 6%	8 4%	23 4%	11 6%	31 10% I	25 8%	41 5%	8 5%	25 8%	5 4%
Not at all sure	94 9%	39 7%	56 10%	21 12%	18 7%	29 13%	14 6%	13 7%	54 10%	15 7%	25 8%	40 12%	54 7%	34 18% OP	17 6%	5 4%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315_4 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Spain's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 40

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	867 77%	426 76%	441 78%	154 71%	214 75%	186 81%	157 82%	156 79%	94 80%	165 78%	162 76%	149 74%	122 84%	127 80%	47 68%	268 76%	599 78%	218 82%	300 76%	67 77%
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	85 8%	59 10% C	26 5%	17 8%	27 9%	9 4%	17 9%	15 8%	5 4%	11 5%	25 12%	17 8%	8 6%	8 5%	10 15%	34 10%	51 7%	17 7%	38 9%	7 9%
Not at all sure	169 15%	74 13%	95 17%	46 21% G	43 15%	35 15%	18 9%	27 14%	19 16%	36 17%	27 13%	36 18%	16 11%	24 15%	12 17%	51 15%	117 15%	31 12%	60 15%	12 14%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315_5 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Germany's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 41

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	930 84%	465 84%	465 85%	141 84%	232 84%	189 84%	194 81%	174 90% G	538 84%	72 82%	56 79%	179 89%	85 84%	193 77%	737 86% N	255 89%	279 84%	115 87%
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	72 6%	46 8%	25 5%	12 7%	12 4%	18 8%	20 8%	9 5%	47 7%	5 6%	5 7%	10 5%	5 5%	15 6%	57 7%	14 5%	25 8%	8 6%
Not at all sure	104 9%	45 8%	59 11%	15 9%	33 12%	19 8%	26 11%	10 5%	59 9%	11 13%	10 14%	13 7%	11 11%	41 17% O	63 7%	18 6%	27 8%	9 7%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315_6 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Japan's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 42

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	563 56%	335 63% C	229 48%	93 63% E	119 50%	103 51%	124 63% EF	124 58%	91 56%	192 56%	115 54%	27 52%	29 60%	51 60%	12 75%	14 57%	32 58%
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	208 21%	125 24%	83 17%	24 16%	50 21%	45 22%	39 20%	50 24%	28 17%	69 20%	52 25%	12 23%	16 32%	17 20%	-	* 1%	14 25%
Not at all sure	231 23%	69 13%	162 34% B	32 21%	71 30% GH	56 27% G	34 17%	38 18%	45 27% M	83 24% M	46 22%	13 25%	4 8%	17 20%	4 25%	10 42%	9 17%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1315_6 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Japan's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 43

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unemplo- yed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	563 56%	121 58%	137 58%	84 48%	92 56%	79 68%	51 49%	239 57%	68 63%	68 54%	61 69%	124 48%	16 72%
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	208 21%	30 15%	52 22%	60 34%	30 18%	22 19%	14 14%	103 24%	25 23%	26 21%	13 14%	40 16%	6 25%
Not at all sure	231 23%	57 27%	47 20%	32 18%	41 25%	16 14%	38 37%	78 19%	15 14%	32 25%	14 16%	92 36%	1 3%
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1315_7 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of China's foreign policy?

16 May 2008
 Table 45

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier			Presence Of Children In Household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
Human rights should be a central feature of our foreign policy	452 45%	258 44%	193 45%	213 48%	164 46%	38 39%	26 34%	10 31%	177 45%	86 46%	76 47%	113 41%	276 44%	64 38%	111 51%	216 47%	235 43%	139 45%	231 44%	59 53%
Human rights should not be a central feature of our foreign policy	388 38%	231 40%	157 37%	160 36%	136 38%	41 42%	36 47%	15 47%	148 38%	65 35%	66 41%	108 39%	247 40%	69 41%	72 33%	179 39%	209 38%	109 35%	211 40%	42 38%
Not at all sure	171 17%	95 16%	77 18%	75 17%	56 16%	19 19%	15 19%	7 23%	66 17%	34 18%	19 12%	53 19%	100 16%	37 22%	34 16%	67 15%	104 19%	62 20%	79 15%	10 9%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320_1 Is the gap between the rich and poor in the UK too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 46

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Too wide	861 79%	417 78%	444 80%	110 62%	220 81% D	208 81% D	170 83% D	153 88% D	264 82%	299 81%	298 75%	295 76%	566 81%	136 90%	273 85%	273 80%
About right	90 8%	54 10%	36 6%	37 21% EFGH	27 10% H	15 6%	8 4%	3 2%	22 7%	16 4%	52 13% J	54 14% M	36 5%	6 4%	12 4%	40 12% O
Too narrow	22 2%	8 2%	13 2%	1 1%	2 1%	9 3%	4 2%	5 3%	7 2%	4 1%	11 3%	5 1%	17 2%	4 3%	3 1%	3 1%
Not sure	115 11%	52 10%	63 11%	30 17%	24 9%	24 9%	23 11%	13 7%	31 10%	48 13%	36 9%	36 9%	79 11%	5 3%	32 10%	23 7%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320_2 Is the gap between the rich and poor in France too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 47

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
Too wide	1095 85%	531 83%	564 87%	180 80%	254 82%	283 89% D	189 86%	189 87%	212 83%	262 83%	202 87%	65 77%	233 87%	116 92% L	5 100%	446 87%	649 84%	281 87% T	515 89% T	93 70%
About right	124 10%	78 12% C	46 7%	31 14%	32 10%	23 7%	13 6%	25 12%	33 13% N	30 9%	22 9%	10 12%	25 9%	4 3%	-	44 9%	81 10%	17 5%	48 8%	33 25% RS
Too narrow	21 2%	12 2%	9 1%	2 1%	9 3%	4 1%	5 2%	1 *	3 1%	6 2%	3 1%	1 2%	3 1%	5 4%	-	5 1%	16 2%	8 3%	7 1%	4 3%
Not sure	47 4%	16 2%	32 5%	11 5%	16 5%	7 2%	12 5%	2 1%	9 3%	17 6%	6 3%	9 10% MN	6 2%	* *	-	18 3%	30 4%	16 5% S	6 1%	2 1%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320_3 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Italy too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 48

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Too wide	892 82%	448 83%	444 81%	145 80%	216 83%	189 83%	174 82%	167 83%	424 75%	187 92% I	280 88% I	274 83%	618 81%	148 81%	276 89% P	90 71%
About right	84 8%	52 10%	32 6%	17 9%	26 10%	19 8%	14 7%	8 4%	54 10%	10 5%	20 6%	22 7%	62 8%	10 6%	20 7%	28 22% NO
Too narrow	14 1%	2 0%	12 2%	2 1%	3 1%	5 2%	3 1%	2 1%	12 2%	1 1%	1 0%	2 0%	13 2%	6 3%	3 1%	2 1%
Not sure	97 9%	34 6%	62 11%	17 10%	17 6%	16 7%	23 11%	24 12%	75 13% JK	5 3%	17 5%	31 10%	66 9%	19 10%	13 4%	7 5%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1320_4 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Spain too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 49

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Too wide	849 76%	428 77%	422 75%	148 68%	228 80% DG	171 75%	129 67%	173 88% DFG	89 75%	149 71%	173 81%	149 74%	120 82%	117 73%	52 75%	278 79%	571 75%	223 84% T	310 78% T	53 62%
About right	149 13%	78 14%	72 13%	41 19% H	32 11%	31 14%	29 15%	15 8%	23 19%	37 17%	22 10%	26 13%	17 12%	15 10%	10 14%	37 11%	112 15%	20 7%	54 14%	18 21% R
Too narrow	21 2%	6 1%	15 3%	8 4%	8 3%	1	4 2%	* *	* *	4 2%	3 2%	2 1%	1	10 6%	1 2%	11 3%	10 1%	3 1%	3 1% RS	8 9%
Not sure	101 9%	46 8%	54 10%	20 9%	16 5%	26 11%	30 15% EH	9 5%	7 6%	22 10%	14 7%	25 12%	8 6%	18 11%	7 10%	27 8%	74 10%	20 8%	30 7%	7 8%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320_5 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Germany too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 50

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Too wide	960 87%	467 84%	493 90% B	136 81%	234 85%	199 88%	213 89%	177 92% D	554 86%	72 82%	63 90%	180 89%	90 89%	216 87%	744 87%	262 91% R	310 94% R	105 79%
About right	84 8%	47 9%	36 7%	19 11%	27 10%	10 5%	12 5%	16 8%	50 8%	12 14% K	1 2%	13 7%	7 6%	16 6%	68 8%	17 6%	12 4%	23 18% PQ
Too narrow	11 1%	11 2% C	-	1 1%	-	8 4% E	1 1%	-	9 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	4 2%	6 1%	-	2 1%	3 2%
Not sure	52 5%	31 6%	21 4%	12 7% H	16 6% H	9 4%	13 6% H	*	31 5%	3 3%	6 9%	7 3%	5 5%	13 5%	38 4%	9 3%	7 2%	1 1%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320_6 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Japan too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 51

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Too wide	644 64%	360 68% C	284 60%	82 56%	159 67%	125 61%	131 67%	145 68% D	110 67%	201 59%	142 67%	31 62%	36 72%	58 68%	12 73%	15 61%	38 69%
About right	204 20%	107 20%	97 21%	37 25%	46 19%	47 23%	34 17%	41 19%	34 21%	83 24% Q	39 18%	8 16%	8 16%	19 22%	5 27%	5 21%	4 8%
Too narrow	77 8%	41 8%	36 8%	13 9%	11 4%	19 9%	23 12% E	12 6%	5 3%	33 10% I	17 8%	6 11%	4 8%	4 5%	-	*	8 14% I
Not sure	77 8%	21 4%	56 12% B	17 11%	24 10%	14 7%	9 5%	14 7%	14 9%	27 8%	15 7%	6 11%	2 4%	4 5%	-	4 16%	5 9%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320_6 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Japan too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 52

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income							Working Status					
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Too wide	644 64%	146 70% E	166 70% EF	113 64%	88 54%	65 56%	66 64%	281 67% K	74 69% K	85 68% K	44 49%	155 61%	16 71%
About right	204 20%	29 14%	46 19%	40 23%	41 25% B	33 29% BG	15 15%	89 21%	21 19%	21 17%	25 28%	48 19%	4 17%
Too narrow	77 8%	22 10% D	13 5%	5 3%	21 13% CD	9 8%	7 7%	32 8%	8 8%	13 10%	9 10%	16 6%	1 3%
Not sure	77 8%	12 6%	11 5%	18 10%	12 8%	8 7%	15 15% BC	17 4%	4 4%	6 5%	11 13% H	36 14% HIJ	2 9%
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1320_7 Is the gap between the rich and poor in China too narrow, too wide or about right?

16 May 2008
 Table 54

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier			Presence Of Children In Household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
Too wide	810 80%	456 78%	354 83%	359 80%	275 77%	83 84%	68 88%	25 80%	318 82%	153 83%	122 76%	216 79%	507 81%	128 75%	175 81%	357 77%	453 83%	238 77%	424 81%	90 80%
About right	148 15%	89 15%	58 14%	64 14%	58 16%	14 14%	8 10%	4 14%	43 11%	26 14%	30 19%	49 18%	88 14%	37 22%	23 11%	84 18%	64 12%	51 17%	78 15%	15 14%
Too narrow	19 2%	17 3% C	1 *	9 2%	9 2%	* *	1 1%	- -	13 3%	1 1%	1 1%	4 1%	13 2%	1 1%	5 2%	9 2%	10 2%	9 3%	2 *	5 4% S
Not sure	34 3%	21 4%	13 3%	15 3%	14 4%	1 1%	1 1%	2 7%	17 4%	5 3%	8 5%	4 2%	16 3%	4 2%	13 6%	13 3%	21 4%	12 4%	17 3%	2 2%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_1 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 55

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Increase	845 78%	428 80%	417 75%	131 73%	215 78%	194 76%	165 80%	141 81%	257 79%	295 80%	293 74%	307 79%	538 77%	117 78%	251 78%	279 82%
Stay the same	105 10%	41 8%	64 12%	14 8%	22 8%	31 12%	24 12%	15 8%	25 8%	25 7%	55 14% J	40 10%	66 9%	13 9%	25 8%	42 12%
Decrease	37 3%	17 3%	21 4%	7 4%	15 6%	12 5%	2 1%	2 1%	13 4%	8 2%	17 4%	12 3%	26 4%	12 8%	8 3%	11 3%
Not sure	100 9%	46 9%	54 10%	27 15%	21 8%	19 7%	15 7%	17 10%	29 9%	39 11%	32 8%	31 8%	69 10%	7 5%	36 11% P	7 2%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_2 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 56

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
Increase	1093 85%	533 84%	561 86%	187 83%	254 82%	279 88%	183 84%	190 88%	220 86%	277 88%	200 86%	71 84%	213 79%	109 87%	3 60%	447 87%	646 83%	267 83%	503 87%	107 81%
Stay the same	119 9%	74 12% C	45 7%	19 9%	35 11%	27 8%	20 9%	18 8%	24 9%	22 7%	24 10%	9 10%	31 11%	10 8%	-	44 9%	75 10%	30 9%	51 9%	21 16%
Decrease	23 2%	13 2%	10 2%	5 2%	6 2%	5 2%	4 2%	3 1%	1 *	6 2%	2 1%	-	8 3%	3 3%	2 40%	4 1%	19 2%	8 2%	8 1%	2 1%
Not sure	53 4%	18 3%	35 5%	13 6%	16 5%	7 2%	11 5%	6 3%	12 4%	11 3%	6 3%	5 6%	16 6%	3 3%	-	18 3%	35 5%	18 6%	15 3%	2 2%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_3 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 57

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Increase	828 76%	418 78%	410 75%	129 71%	202 77%	181 79%	172 81%	143 71%	417 74%	163 80%	248 78%	253 77%	575 76%	133 72%	249 80%	100 80%
Stay the same	111 10%	65 12%	45 8%	23 12%	25 10%	23 10%	19 9%	20 10%	67 12%	18 9%	25 8%	32 10%	78 10%	26 14%	34 11%	16 12%
Decrease	53 5%	28 5%	26 5%	11 6%	12 5%	6 3%	12 6%	11 6%	34 6%	4 2%	16 5%	18 6%	35 5%	11 6%	18 6%	3 2%
Not sure	95 9%	25 5%	69 13% B	18 10%	22 8%	18 8%	10 5%	27 13% G	47 8%	19 9%	29 9%	25 8%	70 9%	13 7%	11 4%	7 6%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_4 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 58

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Increase	844 75%	427 77%	416 74%	154 71%	211 75%	177 77%	148 77%	154 78%	87 73%	152 72%	166 78%	154 76%	109 74%	121 76%	56 80%	282 80%	562 73%	193 73%	323 81%	59 68%
Stay the same	152 14%	68 12%	85 15%	28 13%	35 12%	26 11%	24 13%	39 20%	18 15%	27 13%	27 13%	25 13%	22 15%	27 17%	6 8%	38 11%	115 15%	44 17%	39 10%	16 18%
Decrease	58 5%	34 6%	24 4%	11 5%	18 6%	10 4%	15 8%	4 2%	7 5%	13 6%	16 7%	7 4%	13 9%	2 1%	1 1%	21 6%	37 5%	18 7%	20 5%	4 5%
Not sure	66 6%	29 5%	37 7%	23 11% GH	20 7% H	17 7% H	5 3%	1 1%	8 6%	20 9% K	4 2%	15 8% K	3 2%	9 5%	8 11% K	13 4%	53 7%	11 4%	16 4%	8 9%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_5 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 59

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Increase	983 89%	486 87%	497 90%	139 83%	239 86%	204 90%	221 92% D	181 93% D	576 89%	80 92%	60 85%	179 89%	87 86%	213 86%	770 90%	255 89%	304 92%	117 88%
Stay the same	49 4%	25 4%	25 4%	11 6%	15 6%	6 3%	7 3%	10 5%	25 4%	7 8%	3 4%	9 5%	5 5%	14 6%	35 4%	14 5%	13 4%	11 8%
Decrease	39 4%	20 4%	19 3%	8 5%	14 5%	12 5%	3 1%	2 1%	28 4%	-	1 2%	5 2%	4 4%	14 6%	25 3%	10 4%	9 3%	4 3%
Not sure	35 3%	25 5% C	9 2%	10 6% H	9 3%	5 2%	10 4%	1 *	15 2%	* *	6 9% I	9 4%	5 5%	8 3%	27 3%	8 3%	6 2%	1 1%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_6 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 60

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Increase	814 81%	454 86% C	359 76%	111 75%	198 83%	162 79%	171 86% D	173 81%	136 83%	262 76%	180 84%	43 85%	42 85%	64 75%	14 87%	21 87%	51 92% JN
Stay the same	139 14%	58 11%	81 17% B	22 15%	25 10%	37 18%	26 13%	29 14%	17 11%	62 18%	29 14%	5 11%	3 6%	16 19%	-	2 7%	4 7%
Decrease	10 1%	6 1%	4 1%	1 1%	1 1%	* *	-	7 3% FG	2 1%	4 1%	2 1%	-	3 5%	-	-	-	-
Not sure	39 4%	10 2%	29 6% B	14 9% FGH	16 7% GH	6 3%	1 1%	3 1%	8 5%	16 5%	2 1%	2 5%	2 4%	5 6%	2 13%	1 6%	* 1%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_6 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 61

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income							Working Status					
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Increase	814 81%	171 82%	192 82%	136 77%	140 86%	93 80%	81 79%	361 86% KL	90 84%	101 80%	64 73%	190 74%	21 93%
Stay the same	139 14%	27 13%	35 15%	29 16%	16 10%	15 13%	17 16%	47 11%	15 14%	19 15%	15 17%	44 17%	1 7%
Decrease	10 1%	-	5 2%	2 1%	-	3 3%	-	5 1%	2 1%	4 3% L	1 2%	-	-
Not sure	39 4%	11 5%	3 1%	10 6% C	6 4%	5 4%	5 5%	7 2%	1 1%	2 2%	8 9% HIJ	22 8% HIJ	-
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1325_7 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years?

16 May 2008
 Table 63

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier		Presence Of Children In Household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
Increase	610 60%	377 65% C	233 55%	242 54%	249 70% D	61 62%	47 60%	12 37%	228 58%	110 59%	95 59%	176 65%	387 62%	93 55%	129 59%	275 59%	334 61%	196 63%	306 59%	76 68%
Decrease	222 22%	117 20%	105 24%	132 30% E	53 15%	15 15%	17 22%	5 16%	93 24%	38 20%	39 24%	53 19%	134 21%	42 25%	46 21%	110 24%	111 20%	65 21%	128 25%	17 15%
Stay the same	87 9%	47 8%	40 9%	32 7%	30 8%	16 16%	8 10%	2 5%	30 8%	17 9%	18 11%	22 8%	47 7%	22 13%	19 9%	38 8%	49 9%	19 6%	50 10%	11 10%
Not sure	92 9%	43 7%	49 12%	41 9%	24 7%	7 7%	6 8%	14 43%	39 10%	20 11%	10 6%	23 8%	56 9%	13 7%	24 11%	39 8%	53 10%	30 10%	37 7%	8 7%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_1 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in the UK? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 64

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
The government should tax the wealthy more	607 56%	307 58%	300 54%	74 41%	127 46%	158 62% DE	131 64% DE	117 67% DE	195 60%	203 55%	208 52%	187 48%	420 60% L	121 81% OP	187 58%	179 53%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	299 27%	141 27%	158 28%	45 25%	103 38% FGH	65 25%	52 25%	33 19%	84 26%	101 28%	114 29%	132 34% M	166 24%	19 13%	78 24%	118 35% NO
The government should tax the wealthy less	69 6%	39 7%	30 5%	30 17% EFGH	15 5%	10 4%	6 3%	8 4%	21 7%	18 5%	30 8%	27 7%	42 6%	2 1%	6 2%	32 9% NO
Not sure	113 10%	44 8%	69 12%	29 16%	29 10%	22 9%	16 8%	17 10%	24 7%	45 12%	45 11%	43 11%	71 10%	8 5%	49 15% NP	11 3%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_2 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in France? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 65

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
The government should tax the wealthy more	659 51%	312 49%	348 53%	87 39%	145 47%	177 56% D	114 52% D	137 63% DE	125 49%	149 47%	131 56%	48 57%	126 47%	77 61%	4 67%	270 53%	389 50%	169 52% T	326 57% T	51 39%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	381 30%	199 31%	182 28%	81 36% H	108 35% H	95 30% H	61 28%	36 16%	72 28%	108 34%	82 35%	23 27%	69 26%	26 21%	2 33%	173 34%	208 27%	90 28%	171 30%	49 37%
The government should tax the wealthy less	147 11%	96 15% C	51 8%	42 19% EF	23 7%	31 10%	23 11%	29 13%	39 15% K	38 12% K	10 4%	8 9%	38 14% K	14 11%	-	37 7%	110 14% P	25 8%	54 9%	27 21% RS
Not sure	101 8%	31 5%	70 11% B	15 7%	35 11% F	14 4%	21 10%	15 7%	21 8%	20 6%	9 4%	6 7%	36 13% K	9 7%	-	33 6%	68 9%	39 12% ST	26 5%	5 4%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_3 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Italy? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 66

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
The government should tax the wealthy more	638 59%	313 58%	325 59%	103 57%	154 59%	151 66%	122 57%	108 54%	302 54%	136 67% I	199 63%	204 62%	433 57%	127 69% P	196 63% P	49 39%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	237 22%	121 23%	117 21%	42 23%	53 20%	40 18%	57 27%	45 22%	137 24%	36 18%	65 20%	70 21%	167 22%	37 20%	80 26%	40 32%
The government should tax the wealthy less	114 11%	66 12%	48 9%	21 12%	28 11%	15 7%	22 10%	28 14%	72 13% J	9 4%	34 11%	27 8%	87 12%	11 6%	20 6%	28 22% NO
Not sure	97 9%	36 7%	62 11%	15 8%	27 10%	22 10%	14 6%	20 10%	54 10%	22 11%	20 6%	27 8%	70 9%	10 5%	15 5%	9 7%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_4 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Spain? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 67

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
The government should tax the wealthy more	732 65%	355 64%	377 67%	123 57%	195 69%	146 64%	117 61%	150 76% DG	78 66%	131 62%	139 65%	130 64%	98 67%	117 74%	39 56%	244 69%	488 64%	183 69%	270 68%	52 60%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	220 20%	125 22%	95 17%	34 16%	56 20%	44 19%	47 25%	39 20%	20 17%	42 20%	40 19%	36 18%	30 21%	31 19%	20 29%	61 17%	158 21%	46 17%	87 22%	22 25%
The government should tax the wealthy less	54 5%	36 6%	19 3%	14 7%	10 4%	14 6%	11 6%	4 2%	6 5%	11 5%	17 8% N	9 5%	4 2%	1 1%	6 9% N	14 4%	40 5%	15 6%	15 4%	11 12% S
Not sure	114 10%	42 7%	72 13% B	46 21% EFGH	22 8%	25 11% H	16 8%	5 3%	14 12%	27 13%	17 8%	26 13%	15 10%	10 6%	4 6%	34 10%	80 10%	22 8%	25 6%	2 2%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_5 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Germany? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 68

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
The government should tax the wealthy more	706 64%	336 60%	370 67%	82 48%	156 56%	156 69% DE	171 71% DE	142 73% DE	397 62%	62 71%	47 66%	134 66%	65 64%	151 60%	555 65%	209 73% R	228 69% R	66 50%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	272 25%	140 25%	132 24%	55 33% F	81 29%	43 19%	52 21%	41 21%	162 25%	16 19%	11 15%	54 27%	29 28%	68 27%	204 24%	48 17%	84 25%	50 37% PQ
The government should tax the wealthy less	57 5%	41 7% C	16 3%	13 8%	18 7%	14 6%	6 3%	6 3%	37 6%	4 5%	6 8%	6 3%	3 3%	16 6%	41 5%	12 4%	7 2%	13 9% Q
Not sure	71 6%	39 7%	32 6%	19 11% H	23 8%	13 6%	11 5%	5 3%	47 7%	4 5%	7 10%	7 4%	5 5%	14 6%	57 7%	18 6%	13 4%	4 3%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Japan? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 69

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
The government should tax the wealthy more	772 77%	407 77%	365 77%	100 67%	184 77%	154 75%	163 83% D	171 81% D	132 81%	260 76%	174 81%	39 76%	33 67%	62 73%	11 66%	20 82%	42 76%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	143 14%	80 15%	63 13%	25 17%	31 13%	31 15%	22 11%	34 16%	20 12%	48 14%	24 11%	10 20%	12 24%	16 19%	2 14%	* 1%	11 20%
The government should tax the wealthy less	41 4%	23 4%	18 4%	9 6%	9 4%	9 4%	7 3%	7 3%	5 3%	19 5%	8 4%	1 3%	1 3%	2 2%	2 10%	1 3%	2 3%
Not sure	46 5%	19 4%	27 6%	15 10% GH	15 6% H	11 5% H	5 2%	* *	6 4%	17 5%	7 3%	1 2%	3 7%	5 6%	2 10%	3 14%	1 1%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Japan? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 70

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income							Working Status					
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
The government should tax the wealthy more	772 77%	155 74%	193 82%	139 79%	122 75%	88 76%	75 73%	331 79%	86 80%	102 81%	62 70%	186 73%	20 90%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	143 14%	38 18%	26 11%	23 13%	27 16%	18 15%	11 11%	59 14%	14 13%	18 14%	14 15%	38 15%	2 10%
The government should tax the wealthy less	41 4%	3 2%	10 4%	4 2%	6 4%	9 8% B	8 7% B	17 4%	6 6%	3 2%	4 4%	11 4%	-
Not sure	46 5%	12 6%	6 2%	10 6%	8 5%	1 1%	9 9% CF	13 3%	1 1%	3 3%	9 10% HI	20 8% HI	-
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1330_7 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in China? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 72

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier			Presence Of Children In Household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
The government should tax the wealthy more	611 60%	383 66% C	229 54%	239 53%	230 65% D	74 75% D	51 67%	17 53%	216 55%	122 66%	96 59%	178 65%	379 61%	106 62%	127 58%	272 59%	340 62%	190 61%	316 61%	81 73%
The government should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels	320 32%	169 29%	151 35%	164 37% F	109 31%	19 20%	22 29%	5 16%	154 39% JL	48 26%	48 30%	70 26%	199 32%	42 25%	78 36%	166 36%	153 28%	96 31% T	167 32% T	19 17%
The government should tax the wealthy less	15 2%	4 1%	12 3%	7 2%	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	4 13%	9 2%	4 2%	2 1%	1 *	9 2%	2 1%	4 2%	6 1%	10 2%	*	6 1%	5 5% R
Not sure	64 6%	29 5%	36 8%	37 8%	15 4%	4 4%	3 4%	6 18%	13 3%	11 6%	16 10% I	24 9% I	37 6%	20 12%	8 4%	19 4%	45 8%	23 8%	31 6%	6 5%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1335_1 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in the UK? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 73

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
The government should tax those on a low income less	807 74%	384 72%	423 76%	114 64%	194 71%	179 70%	169 82% DEF	151 86% DEF	251 78%	277 76%	279 70%	277 71%	530 76%	125 83%	265 83% P	250 73%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	170 16%	85 16%	85 15%	33 19% H	55 20% H	48 19% H	24 12%	10 6%	44 14%	50 14%	76 19%	86 22% M	84 12%	11 8%	26 8%	74 22% NO
The government should tax those on a low income more	21 2%	20 4% C	1 *	8 5% G	2 1%	4 2%	*	6 3%	10 3%	5 1%	6 1%	2 1%	18 3%	-	2 1%	4 1%
Not sure	90 8%	43 8%	47 8%	22 13%	22 8%	24 10%	12 6%	8 5%	18 6%	35 9%	37 9%	24 6%	66 9%	14 9%	28 9% P	12 4%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1335_2 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in France? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 74

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income				
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
The government should tax those on a low income less	882 69%	403 63%	480 74% B	132 59%	215 69%	221 70%	147 67%	167 77% D	164 64%	214 68%	165 71%	54 64%	184 69%	100 79%	1 28%	360 70%	522 67%	243 75% T	401 69% T	63 48%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	285 22%	172 27% C	113 17%	64 29% H	68 22% H	83 26% H	45 21%	25 12%	66 26%	68 22%	50 22%	22 26%	53 20%	21 17%	4 72%	120 24%	164 21%	48 15%	137 24% R	50 38% RS
The government should tax those on a low income more	41 3%	24 4%	17 3%	9 4%	6 2%	7 2%	7 3%	12 6%	9 4%	15 5%	4 2%	1 1%	11 4%	1 1%	-	6 1%	35 4% P	10 3%	16 3%	10 8% S
Not sure	80 6%	39 6%	41 6%	19 9% F	22 7% F	7 2%	20 9% F	13 6%	18 7%	18 6%	13 6%	8 10%	20 7%	3 3%	-	26 5%	54 7%	22 7%	23 4%	8 6%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1335_3 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Italy? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 75

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
The government should tax those on a low income less	936 86%	461 86%	475 86%	160 88%	228 87%	207 91% H	179 84%	162 81%	483 86%	167 82%	286 90%	280 85%	656 87%	164 89%	277 89%	103 82%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	72 7% C	52 10%	20 4%	13 7%	13 5%	12 5%	21 10%	13 6%	36 6%	21 10%	16 5%	26 8%	46 6%	13 7%	20 6%	16 13%
The government should tax those on a low income more	20 2%	3 1%	17 3% B	1 1%	5 2%	-	2 1%	13 6% DF	12 2%	4 2%	4 1%	5 2%	15 2%	2 1%	8 3%	1 1%
Not sure	58 5%	20 4%	38 7%	7 4%	17 6%	10 4%	11 5%	14 7%	34 6%	12 6%	12 4%	17 5%	41 5%	4 2%	7 2%	6 5%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1335_4 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Spain? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 76

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
The government should tax those on a low income less	919 82%	453 81%	466 83%	152 70%	217 77%	196 86% D	165 86% D	189 95% DEFG	94 79%	167 79%	166 78%	160 80%	129 88%	141 88%	61 88%	288 81%	631 82%	239 90% T	332 84%	64 74%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	97 9%	63 11% C	33 6%	22 10%	29 10%	21 9%	18 9%	7 4%	7 6%	21 10%	30 14% N	16 8%	9 6%	7 4%	7 10%	24 7%	73 9%	10 4%	43 11% R	12 13% R
The government should tax those on a low income more	19 2%	11 2%	9 2%	4 2%	12 4%	3 1%	-	1*	-	2 1%	5 2%	3 2%	* 6%	9 6%	-	16 5% Q	3	5 2%	7 2%	5 6%
Not sure	86 8%	32 6%	54 10% EFGH	39 18% H	25 9% H	10 4%	10 5%	1	17 14% N	22 10% N	12 6%	22 11% N	9 6%	2 1%	2 2%	26 7%	60 8%	11 4%	16 4%	6 7%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1335_5 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Germany? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 77

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
The government should tax those on a low income less	917 83%	452 81%	466 85%	115 68%	223 80% D	186 82% D	213 89% D	181 93% DEF	527 82%	69 79%	60 85%	172 85%	89 88%	190 76%	727 85% N	248 86%	288 87% R	102 77%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	125 11%	73 13%	53 10%	35 21% GH	32 12%	30 13%	17 7%	11 6%	74 12%	16 19%	4 5%	23 12%	7 7%	36 14%	89 10%	33 12%	34 10%	24 18%
The government should tax those on a low income more	12 1%	8 1%	4 1%	2 1%	5 2%	4 2%	1 *	-	9 1%	-	-	1 1%	2 2%	7 3% O	5 1%	1 *	1 *	4 3% PQ
Not sure	51 5%	24 4%	27 5%	17 10% FH	17 6% H	6 3%	9 4%	2 1%	34 5%	3 3%	6 9%	5 3%	3 3%	17 7%	35 4%	6 2%	9 3%	2 1%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1335_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Japan? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
The government should tax those on a low income less	763 76%	398 75%	365 77%	87 58%	184 77% D	161 79% D	156 79% D	176 83% D	129 79%	250 73%	166 78%	44 86%	40 80%	66 78%	12 76%	18 76%	37 67%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	191 19%	112 21%	78 16%	46 31% EFGH	38 16%	37 18%	37 19%	32 15%	28 17%	73 21%	40 19%	6 12%	7 14%	13 15%	4 24%	3 10%	18 32%
The government should tax those on a low income more	12 1%	8 1%	4 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	3 2%	4 2%	1 *	7 2%	2 1%	* 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%
Not sure	37 4%	11 2%	26 6% B	14 9% FGH	17 7% GH	5 2%	1 1%	* *	6 3%	14 4%	5 3%	1 1%	2 4%	6 7%	-	3 13%	-
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1335_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Japan? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 79

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income							Working Status					
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unemployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
The government should tax those on a low income less	763 76%	171 82% EF	197 83% DEF	130 74%	109 67%	76 65%	80 77%	316 75% K	91 84% K	103 82% K	48 54%	202 79% K	15 66%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	191 19%	28 14%	37 16%	34 19%	41 25% B	34 30% BCG	15 14%	89 21%	13 12%	17 14%	30 34% HIJL	38 15%	8 34%
The government should tax those on a low income more	12 1%	2 1%	-	2 1%	4 2% C	4 3% C	* *	5 1%	3 2%	2 2%	-	3 1%	-
Not sure	37 4%	7 3%	2 1%	10 6% C	8 5% C	2 2%	8 8% C	9 2%	2 2%	4 3%	11 12% HIJ	12 5%	-
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1335_7 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in China? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels?

16 May 2008
 Table 81

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier		Presence Of Children In Household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
The government should tax those on a low income less	909 90%	531 91%	379 89%	391 87%	326 91%	96 97%	72 93%	26 81%	349 89%	155 84%	152 94%	254 93% J	569 91% N	141 83%	199 92%	424 92%	485 89%	273 88%	475 91%	99 88%
The government should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels	79 8%	46 8%	33 8%	45 10%	28 8%	3 3%	3 4%	* 1%	33 8%	26 14% KL	7 4%	14 5%	45 7%	24 14% O	10 5%	32 7%	48 9%	28 9%	41 8%	7 6%
The government should tax those on a low income more	4 *	3 *	2 *	2 1%	1 *	-	* 1%	* 1%	2 1%	1 *	* *	1 *	3 *	1 1%	1 *	1 *	3 1%	1 *	3 *	1 *
Not sure	18 2%	5 1%	13 3%	9 2%	1 *	1 1%	2 2%	5 16%	6 2%	4 2%	2 2%	5 2%	7 1%	4 2%	7 3%	6 1%	12 2%	8 2%	3 1%	5 4% S
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1340_1 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in the UK to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 82

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Expect house prices to come down	593 55%	312 59%	281 51%	74 41%	143 52%	150 59% D	124 60% D	102 58% D	177 55%	194 53%	222 56%	212 54%	381 55%	77 51%	174 54%	204 60%
Expect house prices to remain the same	226 21%	111 21%	116 21%	33 18%	63 23%	54 21%	38 18%	39 22%	71 22%	82 22%	73 18%	89 23%	137 20%	24 16%	59 19%	88 26%
Expect house prices to go up	164 15%	69 13%	95 17%	48 27% EFGH	39 14%	38 15%	20 10%	20 11%	51 16%	58 16%	56 14%	62 16%	103 15%	34 22% P	49 15%	36 11%
Not sure	104 10%	40 8%	64 12%	24 14% F	28 10%	13 5%	24 12%	15 8%	25 8%	33 9%	46 12%	27 7%	77 11%	15 10%	37 12% P	12 4%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1340_2 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in France to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 83

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
Expect house prices to remain the same	554 43%	275 43%	279 43%	73 33%	126 41%	153 48% D	90 41%	111 51% D	92 36%	139 44%	125 54% IN	38 45%	119 44%	39 31%	1 28%	227 44%	327 42%	114 35%	281 49% R	68 52% R
Expect house prices to go up	346 27%	161 25%	185 28%	94 42% FGH	104 33% FH	60 19%	54 25%	34 16%	82 32% KM	103 33% KM	37 16%	20 24%	54 20%	47 38% KM	2 33%	138 27%	208 27%	116 36% ST	127 22% T	16 12%
Expect house prices to come down	292 23%	149 23%	143 22%	43 19%	62 20%	81 26%	56 25%	50 23%	68 26% J	47 15% J	58 25% J	20 24%	66 25% J	30 24%	2 40%	105 20%	187 24%	75 23%	123 21%	44 33% S
Not sure	96 7%	53 8%	43 7%	15 7%	18 6%	23 7%	19 9%	21 10%	14 5%	25 8%	12 5%	6 7%	28 11%	10 8%	-	43 8%	53 7%	18 6%	46 8%	4 3%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1340_3 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Italy to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 84

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Expect house prices to go up	417 38%	196 36%	221 40%	87 48% H	103 39%	92 40%	73 34%	62 31%	189 33%	85 42%	142 45% I	110 33%	307 40%	94 51% OP	106 34%	31 25%
Expect house prices to remain the same	297 27%	148 28%	149 27%	34 19%	66 25%	71 31%	58 27%	68 34% D	163 29%	59 29%	74 23%	106 32%	191 25%	35 19%	87 28%	40 32%
Expect house prices to come down	276 25%	160 30% C	117 21%	36 20%	77 29%	52 23%	68 32%	42 21%	158 28%	48 23%	70 22%	83 25%	193 25%	44 24%	94 30%	51 41% N
Not sure	97 9%	33 6%	64 12% B	24 13%	15 6%	13 6%	15 7%	30 15% EF	54 10%	11 5%	31 10%	30 9%	67 9%	11 6%	25 8%	3 3%
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1340_4 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Spain to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 85

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Expect house prices to come down	537 48%	263 47%	273 49%	92 43%	137 48%	107 47%	90 47%	110 55%	65 54% K	94 45%	82 38%	123 61% JKM	62 43%	79 50%	32 45%	139 39%	397 52% P	119 45%	204 51%	50 57%
Expect house prices to remain the same	356 32%	171 31%	184 33%	53 24%	82 29%	80 35%	77 40% D	63 32%	36 30%	56 27%	95 44% JL	43 21%	52 36% L	48 30%	26 37%	118 33%	238 31%	74 28%	138 35%	26 30%
Expect house prices to go up	163 15%	82 15%	81 14%	51 23% EGH	37 13%	39 17%	17 9%	19 10%	13 11%	35 16%	20 10%	29 14%	27 18%	27 17%	12 18%	78 22% Q	85 11%	56 21% ST	47 12%	8 9%
Not sure	64 6%	41 7%	23 4%	21 10% FH	27 10% FH	3 1%	7 4%	5 3%	6 5% LMNO	26 12%	16 8%	7 3%	4 3%	5 3%	- -	18 5%	47 6%	17 6%	9 2%	4 4%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1340_5 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Germany to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 86

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Expect house prices to go up	440 40%	241 43%	199 36%	64 38%	118 42%	91 40%	95 40%	72 37%	260 40%	24 27%	29 41%	80 40%	48 47% J	98 39%	342 40%	116 41%	151 46% R	40 30%
Expect house prices to remain the same	312 28%	159 29%	153 28%	36 22%	65 24%	67 30%	79 33%	65 34%	204 32%	24 27%	18 25%	44 22%	22 21%	68 27%	244 28%	74 26%	92 28%	56 43% PQ
Expect house prices to come down	204 18%	93 17%	111 20%	27 16%	63 23%	38 17%	38 16%	38 20%	104 16%	29 33% IM	13 19%	44 22%	13 13%	50 20%	154 18%	42 15%	72 22%	28 21%
Not sure	149 13%	62 11%	87 16%	40 24% EGH	31 11%	31 14%	28 12%	19 10%	76 12%	11 13%	11 15%	33 16%	19 18%	33 13%	117 14%	54 19% QR	16 5%	8 6%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1340_6 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Japan to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 87

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Expect house prices to remain the same	336 34%	162 31%	174 37%	33 22%	68 28%	85 42% DE	66 34% D	84 39% D	50 31%	132 39% N	71 33%	15 29%	22 45% N	18 21%	7 44%	5 19%	16 29%
Expect house prices to go up	318 32%	188 36% C	130 27%	52 35%	68 28%	62 31%	71 36%	65 30%	66 40% JQ	91 26%	69 32%	20 39% Q	18 36%	32 38% Q	2 14%	11 48%	9 16%
Expect house prices to come down	229 23%	129 24%	100 21%	32 21%	66 28% F	33 16%	42 21%	56 26% F	32 20%	87 25% M	51 24%	7 14%	4 9%	22 26%	3 19%	2 8%	20 36% LM
Not sure	120 12%	50 9%	70 15% B	33 22% FGH	38 16% H	24 12% H	17 9%	8 4%	15 9%	34 10%	22 10%	9 18%	5 11%	13 15%	4 23%	6 25%	11 20%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1340_6 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Japan to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 88

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unemploy- ed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Expect house prices to remain the same	336 34%	57 27%	77 33%	60 34%	71 43% BG	44 38%	28 27%	148 35%	28 26%	37 30%	22 25%	92 36%	12 54%
Expect house prices to go up	318 32%	69 33%	79 33%	51 29%	45 28%	46 40%	27 26%	144 34%	45 42% L	42 33%	26 29%	67 26%	3 12%
Expect house prices to come down	229 23%	50 24%	61 26%	41 23%	37 23%	24 21%	15 15%	94 22%	30 28%	27 22%	20 22%	54 21%	8 34%
Not sure	120 12%	32 15% EF	19 8%	24 14% EF	10 6%	2 2%	32 31% BCDEF	33 8%	5 5%	20 16% HI	21 24% HI	43 17% HI	- -
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1340_7 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in China to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today?

16 May 2008
 Table 90

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier			Presence Of Children In Household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
Expect house prices to go up	467 46%	280 48%	186 44%	210 47%	165 46%	35 36%	39 51%	16 51%	194 50%	85 46%	65 40%	124 45%	297 48%	65 38%	104 48%	215 46%	252 46%	153 49%	237 46%	50 45%
Expect house prices to come down	273 27%	169 29%	104 24%	140 31%	85 24%	28 29%	18 23%	2 6%	106 27%	50 27%	47 29%	71 26%	170 27%	52 30%	52 24%	119 26%	154 28%	79 25%	128 25%	38 34%
Expect house prices to remain the same	220 22%	107 18%	113 26% B	80 18%	81 23%	31 31%	17 22%	11 35%	79 20%	38 20%	35 22%	68 25%	129 21%	37 22%	54 25%	114 25%	106 19%	57 18%	135 26%	22 19%
Not sure	51 5%	28 5%	23 5%	17 4%	25 7%	4 4%	3 3%	2 8%	12 3%	13 7%	15 9%	10 4%	28 5%	16 9%	7 3%	14 3%	37 7%	21 7%	21 4%	2 2%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_1 How much responsibility should the government of the UK take to ensure that the values of homes in the UK do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 91

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
It should have some responsibility	571 53%	247 46%	324 58% B	97 54%	157 57%	128 50%	110 53%	80 45%	152 47%	208 57%	211 53%	211 54%	361 52%	77 51%	168 53%	179 53%
It should have a lot of responsibility	268 25%	112 21%	156 28%	54 30%	74 27%	56 22%	41 20%	43 24%	72 22%	93 25%	102 26%	101 26%	167 24%	42 28%	82 26%	76 22%
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	249 23%	173 32% C	76 14%	28 16%	42 15%	71 28% DE	54 26% E	53 30% DE	99 31% JK	65 18%	84 21%	78 20%	170 24%	31 20%	70 22%	85 25%
Sigma	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_2 How much responsibility should the government of France take to ensure that the values of homes in France do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 92

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
It should have some responsibility	555 43%	257 40%	298 46%	124 55% EFG	134 43%	132 42%	71 32%	95 44%	97 38%	146 46%	105 45%	31 36%	126 47%	47 38%	3 60%	237 46%	318 41%	145 45%	241 42%	42 32%
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	553 43%	296 46%	257 39%	83 37%	121 39%	151 48%	110 50% D	89 41%	127 49%	127 40%	97 42%	37 43%	106 39%	58 46%	2 40%	200 39%	354 46%	119 37%	256 44%	83 63% RS
It should have a lot of responsibility	180 14%	84 13%	96 15%	18 8%	56 18% D	34 11%	38 17% D	34 16%	33 13%	42 13%	30 13%	18 21%	37 14%	20 16%	-	76 15%	105 13%	59 18% T	80 14% T	7 5%
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_3 How much responsibility should the government of Italy take to ensure that the values of homes in Italy do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 93

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
It should have some responsibility	418 38%	200 37%	217 39%	83 46%	99 38%	86 38%	79 37%	71 35%	218 39%	78 38%	121 38%	117 36%	301 40%	67 36%	112 36%	47 37%
It should have a lot of responsibility	347 32%	134 25%	213 39% B	68 38%	101 39% G	64 28%	52 24%	61 30%	156 28%	61 30%	130 41% I	94 29%	253 33%	87 47% OP	93 30%	27 21%
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	322 30%	202 38% C	121 22%	30 17%	62 24%	78 34% D	83 39% DE	69 34% D	191 34% K	64 31%	67 21%	118 36%	205 27%	30 16%	107 34% N	52 41% N
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_4 How much responsibility should the government of Spain take to ensure that the values of homes in Spain do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 94

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	432 39%	257 46% C	175 31%	105 48% F	105 37%	74 32%	79 41%	70 35%	48 41% N	85 40% N	73 34%	94 47% N	65 44% N	37 23%	30 43%	135 38%	297 39%	98 37%	141 36%	41 48%
It should have a lot of responsibility	412 37%	174 31%	238 42% B	52 24%	118 41% D	105 46% D	67 35%	71 36%	40 34%	68 32%	89 42%	71 35%	45 31%	72 45%	28 40%	132 37%	280 37%	100 38%	164 41%	27 31%
It should have some responsibility	276 25%	127 23%	149 26%	61 28%	61 22%	50 22%	46 24%	57 29%	31 26%	59 28%	52 24%	36 18%	36 25%	50 31% L	12 18%	86 24%	190 25%	68 26%	92 23%	18 21%
Sigma	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_5 How much responsibility should the government of Germany take to ensure that the values of homes in Germany do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 95

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
It should have some responsibility	460 42%	202 36%	257 47% B	87 51% G	126 45% G	91 40%	77 32%	80 41%	269 42%	30 35%	26 37%	91 45%	44 43%	101 41%	359 42%	119 41%	150 45% R	42 32%
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	385 35%	228 41% C	157 29%	50 29%	77 28%	88 39%	101 42% E	70 36%	226 35%	38 44%	30 42%	56 28%	35 34%	71 29%	314 37%	98 34%	95 29% PQ	70 53%
It should have a lot of responsibility	261 24%	125 23%	135 25%	32 19%	75 27%	48 21%	62 26%	44 23%	149 23%	19 21%	15 22%	55 27%	23 23%	77 31% O	184 21%	71 25%	87 26% R	20 15%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_6 How much responsibility should the government of Japan take to ensure that the values of homes in Japan do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 96

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
It should have some responsibility	604 60%	302 57%	303 64%	92 62%	152 64%	123 60%	111 56%	127 60%	96 59%	196 57%	132 62%	29 57%	33 67%	45 53%	10 62%	20 84%	43 78% I/J/N
It should have a lot of responsibility	249 25%	128 24%	121 25%	42 28%	57 24%	56 27%	53 27%	41 19%	40 25%	94 27% Q	53 25%	14 27%	11 22%	27 31% Q	5 28%	1 6%	5 10%
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	149 15%	98 19% C	50 11%	14 10%	30 13%	26 13%	34 17%	44 21% D	27 17%	54 16%	28 13%	8 16%	5 11%	14 16%	2 10%	3 10%	7 13%
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_6 How much responsibility should the government of Japan take to ensure that the values of homes in Japan do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 97

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
It should have some responsibility	604 60%	128 61%	142 60%	97 55%	104 64%	69 59%	65 63%	252 60%	55 51%	81 64%	59 67%	158 62%	12 52%
It should have a lot of responsibility	249 25%	55 26%	60 25%	48 27%	28 17%	29 25%	30 29%	97 23%	38 36% HK	36 28%	17 19%	62 24%	3 11%
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	149 15%	26 13%	33 14%	31 18%	31 19% G	18 16%	9 9%	70 17% J	14 13%	9 7%	12 14%	36 14%	8 36%
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1345_7 How much responsibility should the government of China take to ensure that the values of homes in China do not decline substantially?

16 May 2008
 Table 99

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier			Presence Of Children In Household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
It should have some responsibility	584 58%	334 57%	250 58%	291 65% EF	191 54%	44 45%	42 55%	16 51%	244 63%	105 57%	95 59%	140 51%	346 56%	93 55%	145 67%	267 58%	318 58%	188 61%	300 58%	54 48%
It should have a lot of responsibility	352 35%	203 35%	149 35%	122 27%	144 40% D	48 49% D	26 33%	12 40%	125 32%	55 30%	58 36%	115 42%	240 38% O	59 35%	53 24%	157 34%	195 36%	95 31%	191 37%	50 45%
It should have no responsibility whatsoever	75 7%	47 8%	28 7%	34 8%	21 6%	7 7%	9 12%	3 9%	22 6%	25 13% I	9 5%	19 7%	37 6%	18 11%	19 9%	39 8%	36 6%	26 9%	30 6%	8 7%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_1 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 100

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
US	357 33%	171 32%	186 33%	65 36%	120 44% GH	85 33%	49 24%	38 22%	119 37%	107 29%	131 33%	130 33%	227 33%	38 25%	108 34%	123 36%
China	208 19%	102 19%	106 19%	26 15%	36 13%	49 19%	48 24% E	48 28% E	48 15%	76 21%	84 21%	72 18%	136 20%	34 22%	50 16%	71 21%
Iran	124 11%	87 16% C	37 7%	15 8%	22 8%	24 9%	31 15%	31 18% E	33 10%	48 13%	42 11%	35 9%	89 13%	20 13%	39 12%	42 12%
Iraq	110 10%	38 7%	72 13% B	19 10%	32 12%	24 9%	26 12%	10 6%	32 10%	47 13%	31 8%	43 11%	67 10%	19 13%	41 13% P	22 7%
North Korea	104 10%	62 12%	42 8%	16 9%	20 7%	25 10%	24 12%	19 11%	40 12%	23 6%	41 10%	40 10%	64 9%	19 13%	23 7%	35 10%
Russia	65 6%	33 6%	33 6%	7 4%	12 4%	23 9%	15 7%	8 5%	18 6%	36 10% K	10 3%	30 8%	35 5%	6 4%	26 8%	21 6%
India	5 *	5 1%	-	-	1 *	-	-	3 2%	3 1%	-	1 *	-	5 1%	-	3 1%	1 *
Pakistan	4 *	3 1%	* *	-	-	-	* *	3 2%	4 1%	-	-	-	4 1%	-	3 1%	* *
Israel	1 *	1 *	* *	-	-	* *	1 *	* *	1 *	* *	* *	-	1 *	-	* *	1 *
Saudi Arabia	1 *	1 *	1 *	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	* *	1 *	-	-	1 *
Afganistan	* *	-	* *	-	-	-	-	* *	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	-	* *
Other	* *	* *	-	* *	-	-	-	-	-	-	* *	-	* *	-	* *	-
None	107 10%	31 6%	75 14% B	31 17% G	28 10%	22 9%	10 5%	15 9%	28 9%	27 7%	52 13%	39 10%	68 10%	13 9%	25 8%	22 6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_1 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 100

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Not sure	5*	2*	4	-	3	2	-	1	-	3	3	-	5	2	4	-
			1%		1%	1%		1%		1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	
Sigma	1091	535	556	178	274	255	206	178	327	367	397	390	702	150	323	340
	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	102%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_2 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 101

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*
China	407 32%	176 28%	231 36% B	85 38%	88 28%	110 35%	60 27%	65 30%	69 27%	109 35%	80 34%	17 20%	90 33%	41 32%	2 33%	171 33%	236 30%	105 33%	184 32%	44 33%
US	390 30%	207 33%	183 28%	69 31%	115 37% H	99 31% H	65 30%	42 19%	94 37% JM	77 24%	76 33%	39 46% JM	66 24%	36 29%	2 43%	175 34%	216 28%	101 31%	178 31%	42 32%
Iran	215 17%	141 22% C	74 11%	19 9%	41 13%	47 15%	52 24% DE	56 26% DEF	47 18%	49 15%	38 16%	11 13%	43 16%	25 20%	1 24%	72 14%	143 18%	40 12%	102 18%	29 22% R
North Korea	79 6%	39 6%	40 6%	20 9% G	17 5%	18 6%	6 3%	18 8%	9 4%	36 11% IK	8 3%	3 3%	17 6%	6 5%	-	24 5%	55 7%	11 3%	42 7%	6 5%
Iraq	76 6%	24 4%	52 8% B	13 6%	13 4%	23 7%	15 7%	12 6%	11 4%	20 6%	13 6%	1 1%	22 8%	9 7%	-	32 6%	44 6%	30 9% T	32 6%	2 1%
Russia	43 3%	23 4%	20 3%	8 4%	14 4%	6 2%	8 4%	7 3%	9 3%	11 3%	10 4%	6 7%	8 3%	1 *	-	16 3%	27 4%	15 5%	15 3%	3 3%
Africa (country not specified)	2 *	-	2 *	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-	2 *	-	2 *	-
Saudi Arabia	1 *	1 *	-	* *	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	* *	1 *	-	-	-	1 *	* *	-	-
Pakistan	1 *	* *	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	1 *	-
Afganistan	1 *	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	1 *	-
France	* *	-	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-
Israel	* *	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	-	* *	-	-	-	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	* *

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1350_2 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 101

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France															Presence of children in household					Income		
	Gender			Age					Region							Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)									
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*			
India	*	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	-			
Other	1	*	*	-	1	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	*	*	-	*	-			
None	70 5%	23 4%	47 7%	9 4%	20 7%	11 4%	14 6%	16 7%	16 6%	13 4%	8 3%	6 7%	20 8%	8 6%	-	20 4%	50 6%	20 6%	21 4%	6 4%			
Not sure	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-			
Not stated / not answered / refused	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	*			
Sigma	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%			

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_3 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 102

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
China	370 34%	186 35%	183 33%	68 38% H	100 38% H	81 36% H	76 36% H	44 22%	202 36%	67 33%	101 32%	123 38%	246 32%	61 33%	113 36%	34 27%
US	221 20%	118 22%	104 19%	48 26% G	55 21%	56 24% G	24 11%	39 19%	134 24% K	47 23% K	40 13%	43 13%	178 24% L	40 22%	57 18%	20 16%
Iran	220 20%	150 28% C	70 13%	16 9%	36 14%	40 17%	56 26% DE	71 35% DEF	98 17%	38 19%	84 26%	50 15%	170 22%	32 17%	54 17%	49 39% NO
Iraq	113 10%	24 5%	89 16% B	20 11%	28 11%	23 10%	23 11%	18 9%	51 9%	25 12%	38 12%	57 17% M	56 7%	28 15%	45 14%	9 7%
North Korea	36 3%	20 4%	15 3%	7 4%	13 5%	11 5%	2 1%	4 2%	13 2%	8 4%	15 5%	7 2%	29 4%	2 1%	14 5%	2 2%
Russia	30 3%	13 2%	16 3%	4 2%	12 5%	5 2%	1 1%	7 4%	13 2%	6 3%	11 3%	12 4%	18 2%	4 2%	13 4%	2 1%
Afganistan	2 *	2 *	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	2 *	-	2 1%	-
Israel	2 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	2 1%	2 *	* *	-	-	2 *	* *	-	1 *
India	1 *	1 *	* *	-	-	1 *	* *	-	1 *	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	* *
Middle East (country not specified)	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	1 *	-	1 *	-
No one county - all are a threat	* *	-	* *	-	-	-	-	* *	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-
Pakistan	* *	-	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	* *	-	-	* *	-	-	* *	-
None	89 8%	20 4%	69 13% B	18 10%	14 5%	12 5%	30 14% EF	15 8%	49 9%	12 6%	29 9%	36 11%	53 7%	17 9%	11 4%	8 6%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_3 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 102

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Not stated / not answered / refused	*	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	*
Sigma	1087 100%	536 100%	551 100%	181 100%	262 100%	229 100%	214 100%	201 100%	565 100%	204 100%	318 100%	328 100%	758 100%	184 100%	312 100%	126 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_4 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 103

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
US	466 42%	235 42%	231 41%	90 41%	126 44%	105 46%	65 34%	81 41%	61 51% M	97 46%	89 42%	82 41%	47 32%	61 39%	29 42%	162 46%	304 40%	115 43%	171 43%	33 38%
China	199 18%	101 18%	98 17%	42 19%	47 17%	35 15%	41 21%	34 17%	25 21%	27 13%	49 23%	38 19%	19 13%	29 18%	10 15%	66 19%	132 17%	46 17%	74 19%	12 14%
Iran	147 13%	98 18% C	49 9%	11 5%	31 11%	39 17% D	34 18% D	32 16% D	9 8%	30 14%	21 10%	33 16%	28 19%	14 9%	14 19%	39 11%	109 14%	29 11%	61 15%	17 20%
Iraq	111 10%	35 6%	77 14% B	27 12%	24 8%	20 9%	22 11%	18 9%	9 8%	24 11%	12 6%	17 8%	18 12%	24 15% K	7 11%	34 10%	78 10%	35 13%	27 7%	6 6%
North Korea	64 6%	37 7%	26 5%	14 6%	23 8% F	4 2%	7 4%	16 8% F	4 4%	8 4%	16 7%	12 6%	12 8%	10 6%	1 2%	17 5%	46 6%	7 3%	33 8% R	3 3%
Russia	14 1%	4 1%	10 2%	3 1%	5 2%	2 1%	1 1%	3 2%	-	1 1%	7 3%	-	1 1%	1 *	4 6% L	2 1%	12 2%	2 1%	2 *	2 2%
Pakistan	3 *	3 1%	-	-	2 1%	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	1 *	2 1%	-	-	1 *	2 *	1 *	-	2 2% S
India	3 *	3 *	-	1 *	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	2 1%	-	-	-	3 *	-	1 *	2 2%
No one county - all are a threat	1 *	-	1 *	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 *	-	-	-
Israel	* *	* *	-	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	-	-	* *	-	* *	-
Saudi Arabia	* *	* *	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	-	-	-	* *	-	-	* *	-	-	* *	-
Other	2 *	2 *	-	1 *	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 *	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	* *	1 1%
None	106 9%	42 7%	64 11%	29 13%	21 7%	21 9%	22 12%	13 7%	10 8%	23 11%	18 8%	17 9%	19 13%	15 9%	4 5%	26 7%	80 10%	32 12%	29 7%	6 7%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_4 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 103

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Not sure	*	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-
Not stated / not answered / refused	5	-	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	-	-	-	5
			1%		2%									3%		1%				6%
Sigma	1122	560	562	217	286	229	192	198	119	212	213	202	148	159	70	353	769	267	398	89
	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	102%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_5 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 104

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
US	322 29%	180 32%	142 26%	54 32%	111 40% FGH	61 27%	55 23%	41 21%	196 30%	22 25%	20 29%	47 23%	36 35%	63 25%	259 30%	92 32%	102 31%	33 25%
China	257 23%	126 23%	131 24%	34 20%	38 14%	47 21%	59 25% E	78 40% DEFG	152 24%	19 22%	14 19%	52 26%	20 19%	63 25%	193 23%	65 23%	90 27%	29 22%
Iran	141 13%	87 16% C	54 10%	21 12%	27 10%	35 15%	34 14%	24 12%	84 13%	8 10%	12 17%	27 13%	10 10%	29 12%	112 13%	32 11%	42 13%	24 18%
Iraq	127 11%	28 5%	98 18% B	13 8%	37 13%	30 13%	31 13%	15 8%	70 11%	11 12%	7 10%	29 14%	9 9%	36 15%	90 11%	21 7%	49 15% P	16 12%
Russia	66 6%	30 5%	36 7%	10 6%	23 8% F	5 2%	17 7%	11 6%	44 7%	8 9%	- -	8 4%	6 6%	16 6%	50 6%	22 8%	16 5%	6 5%
North Korea	63 6%	38 7%	25 5%	20 12% EGH	12 4%	14 6%	10 4%	7 4%	25 4%	11 12% IM	4 6%	21 11% I	2 2%	18 7%	45 5%	16 5%	11 3%	9 7%
Israel	13 1%	11 2%	2 -	5 3%	1 -	4 2%	1 -	2 1%	12 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 1%	2 1%	11 1%	9 3% Q	1 -	2 2%
Africa (country not specified)	4 *	- -	4 1%	- -	- -	- -	- -	4 2%	4 1%	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	4 1%	- -	- -	4 3% PQ
Middle East (country not specified)	1 *	- -	1 *	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	- -	- -
India	1 *	* -	* -	- -	- -	* -	* -	- -	* -	- -	* -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	1 *	- -	- -
Pakistan	1 *	* -	* -	* -	- -	- -	* -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	* -	* -	- -	* -	* -
Turkey	1 *	1 *	- -	* *	* *	- -	- -	- -	* *	- -	- -	* *	- -	- -	1 *	- -	* *	* *
France	1 *	- -	1 *	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 *	- -	1 *	- -	- -

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1350_5 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 104

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Other	7 1%	5 1%	2 *	1 *	-	5 2%	1 *	-	6 1%	-	1 1%	-	*	*	7 1%	2 1%	-	*
None	103 9%	50 9%	53 10%	11 6%	27 10%	23 10%	31 13%	11 5%	46 7%	9 10%	12 17%	17 9%	18 18%	21 8%	82 10%	28 10%	21 6%	7 5%
Sigma	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_6 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 105

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
China	411 41%	235 45%	176 37%	50 34%	96 40%	90 44%	77 39%	97 46%	72 44%	123 36%	87 41%	18 36%	23 47%	39 46%	4 26%	9 36%	36 65% IJKL
North Korea	261 26%	122 23%	139 29%	41 28%	67 28%	52 25%	51 26%	50 23%	47 29%	90 26%	58 27%	22 42% MN	9 18%	13 16%	6 36%	6 23%	11 20%
US	225 22%	111 21%	114 24%	43 29% G	57 24%	47 23%	35 18%	43 20%	26 16%	90 26% IQ	50 23%	8 16%	14 27%	24 28% Q	3 19%	5 22%	5 10%
Russia	29 3%	24 4% C	5 1%	2 2%	4 2%	2 1%	16 8% DEFH	4 2%	6 4%	14 4%	6 3%	1 1%	1 1%	*	-	*	*
Iran	23 2%	16 3%	7 1%	2 1%	6 3%	2 1%	7 4%	6 3%	3 2%	9 3%	3 2%	-	1 2%	3 3%	2 10%	-	2 4%
Iraq	21 2%	11 2%	9 2%	3 2%	3 1%	4 2%	6 3%	5 2%	5 3%	6 2%	3 2%	-	-	3 4%	-	3 11%	*
Israel	1 *	*	1 *	1 *	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-
Middle East (country not specified)	1 *	1 *	*	-	*	1 *	-	-	-	*	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-
Africa (country not specified)	*	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
No country - terrorist organisations	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
None	26 3%	8 2%	18 4%	6 4%	6 2%	5 3%	2 1%	7 3%	3 2%	9 3%	6 3%	2 4%	2 4%	2 2%	2 10%	1 6%	-
Not sure	2 *	1 *	1 *	-	-	*	1 1%	-	-	1 *	*	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Q1350_6 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 105

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Not stated / not answered / refused	2*	-	2*	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	2*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sigma	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	240 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_6 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 106

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
China	411 41%	94 45%	86 36%	76 43%	65 40%	54 47%	36 35%	164 39%	54 50%	53 42%	34 38%	103 40%	10 44%
North Korea	261 26%	46 22%	65 27%	44 25%	35 21%	38 32%	34 33%	127 30%	18 17%	39 31%	21 24%	59 23%	5 22%
US	225 22%	45 21%	53 22%	45 26%	42 26%	17 14%	23 23%	86 21%	21 20%	29 23%	26 29%	61 24%	4 20%
Russia	29 3%	3 1%	11 5%	5 3%	4 3%	3 3%	3 3%	19 5%	3 3%	-	2 2%	4 2%	1 4%
Iran	23 2%	11 5% D	3 1%	1 1%	6 4%	2 1%	-	6 2%	7 6% H	3 2%	-	6 2%	1 3%
Iraq	21 2%	2 1%	10 4%	2 1%	3 2%	1 1%	2 2%	8 2%	3 3%	1 1%	3 3%	5 2%	-
Israel	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	* *	-	* *	-	1 *	-	-	-
Middle East (country not specified)	1 *	-	* *	-	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	-
Africa (country not specified)	* *	-	* *	-	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	-	-
No country - terrorist organisations	* *	-	* *	-	-	-	-	-	* *	-	-	-	-
Other	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 *	-
None	26 3%	7 4%	7 3%	2 1%	4 3%	1 1%	5 5%	6 2%	1 1%	-	3 3%	16 6% HJ	1 7%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_6 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 106

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unem- ployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Not sure	2*	1*	-	*	-	*	-	1*	-	-	-	*	-
Not stated / not answered / refused	2*	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-
Sigma	1002 100%	209 100%	236 100%	176 100%	163 100%	116 100%	103 100%	419 100%	108 100%	126 100%	88 100%	255 100%	22 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Q1350_7 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability?

16 May 2008
 Table 108

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier		Presence Of Children In Household		Income			
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
US	802 79%	451 77%	351 82%	371 83%	274 77%	82 83%	61 79%	14 46%	312 80%	151 82%	127 79%	212 78%	479 77%	140 82%	184 85%	366 79%	436 80%	253 82%	413 79%	83 74%
China	51 5%	35 6%	16 4%	15 3%	27 7%	2 2%	7 9%	-	17 4%	9 5%	11 7%	14 5%	29 5%	9 5%	12 6%	30 6%	21 4%	12 4%	24 5%	11 10%
Iran	33 3%	25 4%	8 2%	17 4%	11 3%	1 1%	2 3%	1 4%	14 4%	7 4%	1 1%	11 4%	26 4%	4 2%	3 1%	17 4%	16 3%	10 3%	20 4%	2 2%
Iraq	23 2%	16 3%	7 2%	11 2%	10 3%	2 2%	* *	-	9 2%	2 1%	7 4%	5 2%	12 2%	5 3%	5 2%	6 1%	16 3%	6 2%	14 3%	3 3%
North Korea	15 2%	9 2%	6 1%	5 1%	6 2%	3 4%	1 2%	-	5 1%	5 3%	1 1%	4 2%	11 2%	1 *	4 2%	7 1%	8 2%	2 1%	9 2%	3 3%
Russia	7 1%	5 1%	2 1%	* *	1 *	4 4% DE	2 2% D	-	2 1%	* *	-	5 2%	7 1%	-	-	7 1%	1 *	4 1%	2 *	1 1%
India	2 *	2 *	* *	* *	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 *	2 *	-	-	1 *	1 *	-	2 *	-
No country - terrorist organisations	1 *	1 *	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	1 *	-	1 *	-
Africa (country not specified)	* *	* *	-	-	-	-	-	1 %	-	-	* *	-	-	-	* *	* *	-	-	* *	-
Other	7 1%	2 *	4 1%	5 1%	1 *	* *	* *	-	5 1%	-	1 *	2 1%	6 1%	1 *	-	1 *	5 1%	2 1%	5 1%	* *
None	70 7%	38 6%	33 8%	23 5%	24 7%	3 3%	4 5%	16 49%	27 7%	10 5%	11 7%	22 8%	51 8%	12 7%	8 4%	28 6%	42 8%	22 7%	32 6%	9 8%
Sigma	1011 100%	584 100%	428 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	274 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Analysis of Sample - Great Britain

16 May 2008
 Table 109

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1088	535	553	181	269	250	209	179	329	320	439	351	737	119	314	411
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Great Britain Total	1088 100%	532 100%	556 100%	178 100%	274 100%	255 100%	206 100%	175 100%	324 100%	367 100%	397 100%	390 100%	698 100%	150 100%	320 100%	340 100%
Gender Male	532 49%	532 100% C	- -	86 48%	139 51%	127 50%	99 48%	81 46%	164 50%	162 44%	207 52%	149 38%	382 55% L	65 43%	152 47%	189 56%
Female	556 51%	- -	556 100% B	92 52%	135 49%	129 50%	106 52%	94 54%	160 50%	205 56%	190 48%	240 62% M	316 45%	85 57%	168 53%	150 44%
Age 16-24	178 16%	86 16%	92 17%	178 100% EFGH	- -	- -	- -	- -	41 13%	63 17%	74 19%	82 21% M	96 14%	25 17%	45 14%	26 8%
25-34	274 25%	139 26%	135 24%	- -	274 100% DFGH	- -	- -	- -	71 22%	93 25%	109 28%	103 26%	171 24%	19 13%	79 25%	116 34% N
35-44	255 23%	127 24%	129 23%	- -	- -	255 100% DEGH	- -	- -	91 28%	72 20%	92 23%	147 38% M	109 16%	40 27%	79 25%	92 27%
45-54	206 19%	99 19%	106 19%	- -	- -	- -	206 100% DEFH	- -	57 18%	70 19%	79 20%	47 12%	159 23% L	13 9%	70 22% N	82 24% N
55-64	175 16%	81 15%	94 17%	- -	- -	- -	- -	175 100% DEFG	64 20% K	68 19% K	43 11%	11 3%	164 23% L	53 36% OP	47 15% P	24 7%
Region North	324 30%	164 31%	160 29%	41 23%	71 26%	91 35%	57 28%	64 36%	324 100% JK	- -	- -	95 24%	229 33%	47 31%	108 34%	93 27%
Midlands	367 34%	162 30%	205 37%	63 36%	93 34%	72 28%	70 34%	68 39%	- -	367 100% IK	- -	135 35%	232 33%	50 33%	110 35%	108 32%
South	397 37%	207 39%	190 34%	74 41% H	109 40% H	92 36%	79 38%	43 25%	- -	- -	397 100% IJ	159 41%	238 34%	53 35%	102 32%	139 41%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - Great Britain

16 May 2008
 Table 109

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Great Britain															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Midlands	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Weighted Base	1088	532	556	178*	274	255	206	175*	324	367	397	390	698	150*	320	340
Presence of children in household																
Yes	390 36%	149 28%	240 43% B	82 46% GH	103 38% GH	147 58% EGH	47 23% H	11 6%	95 29%	135 37%	159 40% I	390 100% M	- -	37 25%	109 34%	144 42% N
No	698 64%	382 72% C	316 57%	96 54%	171 62% F	109 42%	159 77% DEF	164 94% DEFG	229 71% K	232 63%	238 60%	- -	698 100% L	113 75% P	211 66%	196 58%
Income																
Low	150 14%	65 12%	85 15%	25 14%	19 7%	40 16% EG	13 6%	53 31% DEFG	47 15%	50 14%	53 13%	37 10%	113 16% L	150 100% OP	- -	- -
Middle	320 29%	152 28%	168 30%	45 25%	79 29%	79 31%	70 34%	47 27%	108 33%	110 30%	102 26%	109 28%	211 30%	- -	320 100% NP	- -
Upper	340 31%	189 36% C	150 27%	26 15%	116 42% DH	92 36% DH	82 40% DH	24 14%	93 29%	108 29%	139 35%	144 37% M	196 28%	- -	- -	340 100% NO

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - France

16 May 2008
 Table 110

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France																				
	Gender			Age					Region						Presence of children in household		Income				
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)		
Unweighted Base	1288	651	637	224	344	291	226	203	369	263	206	66	260	120	4	478	810	288	548	204	
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*	
France Total	1288 100%	637 100%	651 100%	225 100%	311 100%	317 100%	219 100%	217 100%	257 100%	315 100%	232 100%	85 100%	268 100%	126 100%	5 100%	512 100%	776 100%	323 100%	577 100%	132 100%	
Gender Male	637 49%	637 100% C	-	111 50%	150 48%	162 51%	112 51%	102 47%	122 47%	158 50%	132 57%	46 54%	122 45%	56 44%	2 40%	221 43%	416 54% P	119 37%	317 55% R	97 73% RS	
Female	651 51%	-	651 100% B	113 50%	161 52%	155 49%	107 49%	115 53%	135 53%	157 50%	100 43%	39 46%	146 55%	70 56%	3 60%	291 57% Q	360 46%	204 63% ST	259 45% T	35 27%	
Age 16-24	225 17%	111 17%	113 17%	225 100% EFGH	-	-	-	-	58 22% LM	69 22% LM	43 19%	4 5%	29 11%	17 14%	4 72%	73 14%	151 20%	65 20% ST	52 9%	10 8%	
25-34	311 24%	150 24%	161 25%	-	311 100% DFGH	-	-	-	50 20%	81 26%	55 24%	31 36% I	67 25%	26 21%	-	135 26%	175 23%	116 36% ST	138 24%	23 17%	
35-44	317 25%	162 25%	155 24%	-	-	317 100% DEGH	-	-	66 26%	60 19%	56 24%	32 37% J	70 26%	33 26%	* 4%	215 42% Q	102 13%	70 22%	168 29%	40 30%	
45-54	219 17%	112 18%	107 16%	-	-	-	-	219 100% DEFH	39 15%	46 15%	46 20%	17 19%	48 18%	22 17%	1 24%	78 15%	141 18%	39 12%	106 18%	33 25% R	
55-64	217 17%	102 16%	115 18%	-	-	-	-	-	217 100% DEFG	43 17% L	59 19% L	31 14%	2 2%	54 20% L	28 22% L	-	11 2%	206 27% P	33 10%	113 20% R	26 20% R
Region Paris	257 20%	122 19%	135 21%	58 26%	50 16%	66 21%	39 18%	43 20%	257 100% JKLMN	-	-	-	-	-	-	103 20%	153 20%	44 13%	90 16%	43 33% RS	
North East	315 24%	158 25%	157 24%	69 31% F	81 26%	60 19%	46 21%	59 27%	-	315 100% IKLMN	-	-	-	-	-	124 24%	191 25%	76 23%	135 23%	29 22%	
North West	232 18%	132 21%	100 15%	43 19%	55 18%	56 18%	46 21%	31 15%	-	-	232 100% IJLMN	-	-	-	-	91 18%	141 18%	61 19%	131 23%	21 16%	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - France

16 May 2008
 Table 110

Base: All French Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	France															Presence of children in household					Income		
	Gender			Age					Region							Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper			
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Paris	North East	North West	Central	South East	South West	Medi-terranean	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)			
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)									
Weighted Base	1288	637	651	225	311	317	219	217*	257	315	232	85*	268	126*	5**	512	776	323	577	132*			
Central	85 7%	46 7%	39 6%	4 2%	31 10% DH	32 10% DH	17 8% DH	2 1%	-	-	-	85 100% IJKMN	-	-	-	43 8%	42 5%	35 11%	32 6%	9 7%			
South East	268 21%	122 19%	146 22%	29 13%	67 22%	70 22%	48 22%	54 25% D	-	-	-	-	268 100% IJKLN	-	-	104 20%	164 21%	80 25% T	128 22%	17 13%			
South West	126 10%	56 9%	70 11%	17 8%	26 8%	33 10%	22 10%	28 13%	-	-	-	-	-	126 100% IJKLM	-	43 8%	83 11%	28 9%	58 10%	12 9%			
Mediterranean	5	2	3	4 2%	-	*	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 100%	3 1%	2	-	3 1%	-			
Presence of children in household																							
Yes	512 40%	221 35%	291 45% B	73 33% H	135 44% H	215 68% DEGH	78 36% H	11 5%	103 40%	124 39%	91 39%	43 50%	104 39%	43 34%	3 60%	512 100% Q	-	111 34%	246 43%	62 47%			
No	776 60%	416 65% C	360 55%	151 67% F	175 56% F	102 32%	141 64% F	206 95% DEFG	153 60%	191 61%	141 61%	42 50%	164 61%	83 66%	2 40%	-	776 100% P	212 66%	330 57%	70 53%			
Income																							
Low	323 25%	119 19%	204 31% B	65 29% H	116 37% FGH	70 22%	39 18%	33 15%	44 17%	76 24%	61 26%	35 41% I	80 30% I	28 22%	-	111 22%	212 27%	323 100% ST	-	-			
Middle	577 45%	317 50% C	259 40%	52 23%	138 44% D	168 53% D	106 48% D	113 52% D	90 35%	135 43%	131 57% IJ	32 38%	128 48% I	58 46%	3 60%	246 48%	330 43%	-	577 100% RT	-			
Upper	132 10%	97 15% C	35 5%	10 4%	23 7%	40 13% D	33 15% DE	26 12% D	43 17% JM	29 9%	21 9%	9 11%	17 6% I	12 10%	-	62 12%	70 9%	-	-	132 100% RS			

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Analysis of Sample - Italy

16 May 2008
 Table 111

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Unweighted Base	1087	533	554	183	261	226	219	198	692	223	172	310	777	157	339	175
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Italy Total	1087	536	551	181	262	229	214	201	565	204	318	328	758	184	312	126
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gender Male	536	536	-	97	128	115	103	94	261	91	185	167	369	80	168	73
	49%	100%	-	54%	49%	50%	48%	46%	46%	44%	58%	51%	49%	44%	54%	58%
Female	551	-	551	84	134	114	111	108	304	113	133	161	389	104	144	53
	51%	-	100%	46%	51%	50%	52%	54%	54%	56%	42%	49%	51%	56%	46%	42%
Age 18-24	181	97	84	181	-	-	-	-	79	32	70	65	117	34	33	17
	17%	18%	15%	100%	-	-	-	-	14%	16%	22%	20%	15%	19%	11%	14%
25-34	262	128	134	-	262	-	-	-	107	66	89	50	212	46	80	16
	24%	24%	24%	-	100%	-	-	-	19%	32%	28%	15%	28%	25%	26%	12%
35-44	229	115	114	-	-	229	-	-	120	56	52	101	127	48	74	21
	21%	21%	21%	-	-	100%	-	-	21%	27%	16%	31%	17%	26%	24%	17%
45-54	214	103	111	-	-	-	214	-	138	29	47	95	119	33	64	41
	20%	19%	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	24%	14%	15%	29%	16%	18%	20%	33%
55-64	201	94	108	-	-	-	-	201	120	21	60	17	184	23	61	31
	19%	17%	20%	-	-	-	-	100%	21%	10%	19%	5%	24%	13%	20%	24%
Region North	565	261	304	79	107	120	138	120	565	-	-	165	400	82	160	87
	52%	49%	55%	44%	41%	53%	65%	60%	100%	-	-	50%	53%	45%	51%	69%
Central	204	91	113	32	66	56	29	21	-	204	-	71	133	40	62	13
	19%	17%	21%	18%	25%	24%	13%	10%	-	100%	-	22%	18%	22%	20%	10%
South	318	185	133	70	89	52	47	60	-	-	318	92	226	61	90	26
	29%	34%	24%	38%	34%	23%	22%	30%	-	-	100%	28%	30%	33%	29%	21%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - Italy

16 May 2008
 Table 111

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Italy															
	Gender			Age					Region			Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	
Weighted Base	1087	536	551	181*	262	229	214*	201*	565	204*	318	328	758	184*	312	126*
Presence of children in household																
Yes	328 30%	167 31%	161 29%	65 36% EH	50 19% H	101 44% EH	95 45% EH	17 9%	165 29%	71 35%	92 29%	328 100% M	-	48 26%	98 32%	37 30%
No	758 70%	369 69%	389 71%	117 64%	212 81% DFG	127 56%	119 55%	184 91% DEFG	400 71%	133 65%	226 71%	-	758 100% L	136 74%	213 68%	88 70%
Income																
Low	184 17%	80 15%	104 19%	34 19%	46 18%	48 21%	33 15%	23 11%	82 15%	40 20%	61 19%	48 15%	136 18%	184 100% OP	-	-
Middle	312 29%	168 31%	144 26%	33 18%	80 31%	74 32% D	64 30%	61 31%	160 28%	62 30%	90 28%	98 30%	213 28%	-	312 100% NP	-
Upper	126 12%	73 14%	53 10%	17 10%	16 6%	21 9%	41 19%	31 15% EF	87 15% J	13 6%	26 8%	37 11%	88 12%	-	-	126 100% NO

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K - L/M - N/O/P
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - Spain

16 May 2008
 Table 112

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1120	553	567	221	277	246	184	192	122	207	249	233	114	135	60	355	765	211	425	139
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Spain Total	1120 100%	558 100%	562 100%	217 100%	284 100%	229 100%	192 100%	198 100%	119 100%	212 100%	213 100%	202 100%	146 100%	159 100%	70 100%	353 100%	767 100%	267 100%	398 100%	87 100%
Gender Male	558 50%	558 100% C	- -	108 50%	144 51%	118 52%	97 50%	91 46%	54 45%	118 56% N	110 51% N	114 57% N	88 60% N	47 29%	27 39%	194 55%	364 47%	120 45%	223 56%	53 62% R
Female	562 50%	- -	562 100% B	110 50%	140 49%	111 48%	95 50%	107 54%	65 55%	93 44%	103 49%	88 43%	58 40%	113 71% JKLM	43 61%	159 45%	403 53%	146 55% T	174 44%	33 38%
Age 16-24	217 19%	108 19%	110 19%	217 100% EFGH	- -	- -	- -	- -	37 31% KLN	45 21%	33 15%	35 18%	31 21%	22 14%	14 20%	72 20%	145 19%	57 21% S	34 9%	9 10%
25-34	284 25%	144 26%	140 25%	- -	284 100% DFGH	- -	- -	- -	26 22%	42 20%	56 27%	55 27%	38 26%	56 35% JO	10 14%	69 20%	215 28% P	72 27%	102 26%	16 18%
35-44	229 20%	118 21%	111 20%	- -	- -	229 100% DEGH	- -	- -	23 20%	53 25% K	26 12%	55 28% K	28 19%	16 16%	18 25%	108 31% Q	122 16%	57 22%	95 24%	21 25%
45-54	192 17%	97 17%	95 17%	- -	- -	- -	192 100% DEFH	- -	16 13%	40 19%	45 21%	29 14%	26 18%	31 20%	5 7%	88 25% Q	104 14%	39 15%	76 19%	20 24%
55-64	198 18%	91 16%	107 19%	- -	- -	- -	- -	198 100% DEFG	16 14%	32 15%	53 25% L	27 13%	23 16%	25 15%	23 34% IJLMN	17 5%	181 24% P	42 16%	92 23%	20 23%
Region Northwest	119 11%	54 10%	65 12%	37 17%	26 9%	23 10%	16 8%	16 8%	119 100% JKLMNO	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	26 7%	93 12%	21 8%	48 12%	14 17%
North Central	212 19%	118 21%	93 17%	45 21%	42 15%	53 23%	40 21%	32 16%	- -	212 100% IKLMNO	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	54 15%	158 21%	51 19%	67 17%	13 15%
Northeast	213 19%	110 20%	103 18%	33 15%	56 20%	26 11%	45 23% F	53 27% DF	- -	- -	213 100% IJLMNO	- -	- -	- -	- -	67 19%	146 19%	50 19%	87 22%	14 16%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Analysis of Sample - Spain

16 May 2008
 Table 112

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Spain																			
	Gender			Age					Region							Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North west	North Central	North East	Central	Central Coast	South	Is-lands	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Weighted Base	1120	558	562	217	284	229	192*	198*	119*	212*	213	202	146*	159*	70*	353	767	267	398	87*
Central	202	114	88	35	55	55	29	27	-	-	-	202	-	-	64	138	32	83	22	
	18%	20%	16%	16%	19%	24%	15%	14%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	18%	18%	12%	21%	25%	
											IJKMNO							R	R	
Central Coast	146	88	58	31	38	28	26	23	-	-	-	-	146	-	52	94	39	36	10	
	13%	16%	10%	14%	13%	12%	13%	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	15%	12%	15%	9%	12%	
													IJKLNO							
South	159	47	113	22	56	26	31	25	-	-	-	-	-	159	58	102	55	50	9	
	14%	8%	20%	10%	20%	11%	16%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	16%	13%	21%	13%	11%	
			B		D									IJKLMO						
Islands	70	27	43	14	10	18	5	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	37	19	26	4	
	6%	5%	8%	6%	4%	8%	3%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9%	5%	7%	6%	4%	
								EG							IJKLMN					
Presence of children in household																				
Yes	353	194	159	72	69	108	88	17	26	54	67	64	52	58	32	353	-	83	124	32
	32%	35%	28%	33%	24%	47%	46%	8%	22%	25%	32%	32%	36%	36%	46%	100%	-	31%	31%	37%
				H	H	DEH	EH								IJ	Q				
No	767	364	403	145	215	122	104	181	93	158	146	138	94	102	37	-	767	183	274	54
	68%	65%	72%	67%	76%	53%	54%	92%	78%	75%	68%	68%	64%	64%	54%	-	100%	69%	69%	63%
				F	FG			DEFG	O	O					P					
Income																				
Low	267	120	146	57	72	57	39	42	21	51	50	32	39	55	19	83	183	267	-	-
	24%	22%	26%	26%	25%	25%	20%	21%	18%	24%	23%	16%	27%	34%	28%	24%	24%	100%	-	-
														IL			ST			
Middle	398	223	174	34	102	95	76	92	48	67	87	83	36	50	26	124	274	-	398	-
	35%	40%	31%	16%	36%	41%	39%	46%	41%	32%	41%	41%	25%	32%	37%	35%	36%	-	100%	-
		C		D	D	D	D	D	M	M	M	M							RT	
Upper	87	53	33	9	16	21	20	20	14	13	14	22	10	9	4	32	54	-	-	87
	8%	10%	6%	4%	6%	9%	11%	10%	12%	6%	7%	11%	7%	6%	5%	9%	7%	-	-	100%
																				RS

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Analysis of Sample - Germany

16 May 2008
 Table 113

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Unweighted Base	1106	554	552	168	274	231	242	191	638	73	67	200	128	249	857	279	357	153
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Germany Total	1106 100%	556 100%	550 100%	169 100%	277 100%	226 100%	240 100%	194 100%	644 100%	88 100%	71 100%	202 100%	102 100%	249 100%	857 100%	288 100%	332 100%	133 100%
Gender Male	556 50%	556 100% C	- -	85 50%	141 51%	115 51%	122 51%	94 48%	333 52%	43 49%	37 52%	98 48%	45 45%	92 37%	464 54% N	132 46%	181 55%	81 61% P
Female	550 50%	- -	550 100% B	84 50%	136 49%	111 49%	118 49%	100 52%	311 48%	45 51%	34 48%	104 52%	56 55%	157 63% O	393 46%	156 54% R	151 45%	52 39%
Age 16-24	169 15%	85 15%	84 15%	169 100% EFGH	- -	- -	- -	- -	96 15%	7 8%	6 8%	41 20%	18 18%	40 16%	129 15%	65 23% QR	21 6%	7 5%
25-34	277 25%	141 25%	136 25%	- -	277 100% DFGH	- -	- -	- -	157 24%	27 31%	12 18%	48 24%	33 32%	63 25%	214 25%	80 28%	96 29% R	23 17%
35-44	226 20%	115 21%	111 20%	- -	- -	226 100% DEGH	- -	- -	153 24% L	15 17%	15 22%	24 12%	19 19%	85 34% O	141 16%	41 14%	75 23% P	30 23%
45-54	240 22%	122 22%	118 22%	- -	- -	- -	240 100% DEFH	- -	129 20%	24 27%	16 22%	56 28%	16 16%	52 21%	188 22%	52 18%	76 23%	39 29%
55-64	194 18%	94 17%	100 18%	- -	- -	- -	- -	194 100% DEFG	109 17%	14 16%	21 30%	33 16%	16 16%	8 3%	185 22% N	50 17%	64 19%	34 25%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - Germany

16 May 2008
 Table 113

Base: All German Adults 16-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Germany																	
	Gender			Age					Region					Presence of children in household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	16-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	North	Central	South	East	West	Yes	No	Low	Middle	Upper
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	
Weighted Base	1106	556	550	169*	277	226	240	194	644	88*	71*	202	102*	249	857	288	332	133*
Region																		
North	644 58%	333 60%	311 57%	96 57%	157 57%	153 68% G	129 54%	109 56%	644 100% JKLM	-	-	-	-	158 63%	486 57%	137 48%	211 64% P	79 59%
Central	88 8%	43 8%	45 8%	7 4%	27 10%	15 7%	24 10%	14 7%	-	88 100% IKLM	-	-	-	13 5%	75 9%	22 8%	25 8%	18 14%
South	71 6%	37 7%	34 6%	6 4%	12 4%	15 7%	16 7%	21 11% E	-	-	71 100% IJKM	-	-	7 3%	64 7% N	16 6%	17 5%	13 9%
East	202 18%	98 18%	104 19%	41 24% F	48 17%	24 11%	56 23% F	33 17%	-	-	-	202 100% IJKM	-	43 17%	159 19%	83 29% QR	49 15%	22 16%
West	102 9%	45 8%	56 10%	18 11%	33 12%	19 8%	16 7%	16 8%	-	-	-	-	102 100% IJKL	29 12%	73 8%	30 10% R	28 9% R	2 1%
Presence of children in household																		
Yes	249 23%	92 17%	157 29% B	40 24% H	63 23% H	85 38% DEGH	52 22% H	8 4%	158 25% K	13 14%	7 10%	43 21%	29 28% K	249 100% O	-	48 17%	71 21%	32 24%
No	857 77%	464 83% C	393 71%	129 76% F	214 77% F	141 62%	188 78% F	185 96% DEFG	486 75%	75 86%	64 90% IM	159 79%	73 72%	-	857 100% N	239 83%	261 79%	101 76%
Income																		
Low	288 26%	132 24%	156 28%	65 38% FG	80 29% F	41 18%	52 22%	50 26%	137 21%	22 25%	16 23%	83 41% I	30 29%	48 19%	239 28% N	288 100% QR	-	-
Middle	332 30%	181 33%	151 27%	21 12%	96 35% D	75 33% D	76 32% D	64 33% D	211 33%	25 29%	17 24%	49 25%	28 28%	71 28%	261 30%	-	332 100% PR	-
Upper	133 12%	81 15%	52 9%	7 4%	23 8%	30 13% D	39 16% DE	34 17% DE	79 12% M	18 21% M	13 18% M	22 11% M	2 2%	32 13%	101 12%	-	-	133 100% PQ

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M - N/O - P/Q/R
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - Japan

16 May 2008
 Table 114

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Unweighted Base	1002	541	461	141	241	215	213	192	151	371	209	48	45	85	11	26	56
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Japan Total	1002 100%	529 100%	474 100%	148 100%	239 100%	204 100%	197 100%	212 100%	163 100%	344 100%	214 100%	51 100%	49 100%	85 100%	16 100%	24 100%	55 100%
Gender Male	529 53%	529 100% C	-	76 51%	121 51%	103 50%	124 63% EFH	104 49%	93 57%	187 55%	110 52%	27 53%	28 57%	41 48%	7 41%	9 37%	26 47%
Gender Female	474 47%	-	474 100% B	72 49%	118 49% G	101 50% G	73 37%	109 51% G	71 43%	156 45%	103 48%	24 47%	21 43%	44 52%	10 59%	15 63%	29 53%
Age 18-24	148 15%	76 14%	72 15%	148 100% EFGH	-	-	-	-	21 13%	51 15%	36 17%	9 17%	9 18%	11 13%	4 24%	4 17%	4 8%
Age 25-34	239 24%	121 23%	118 25%	-	239 100% DFGH	-	-	-	35 21%	84 24%	44 21%	13 25%	12 24%	24 28%	5 30%	9 36%	15 27%
Age 35-44	204 20%	103 20%	101 21%	-	-	204 100% DEGH	-	-	34 21%	77 22%	40 19%	8 15%	11 22%	17 20%	2 13%	2 8%	14 25%
Age 45-54	197 20%	124 24% C	73 15%	-	-	-	197 100% DEFH	-	36 22%	61 18%	50 23%	9 17%	10 20%	14 16%	3 19%	6 25%	9 17%
Age 55-64	212 21%	104 20%	109 23%	-	-	-	-	212 100% DEFG	38 23%	71 21%	44 21%	13 26%	8 17%	20 23%	2 14%	3 14%	13 23%
Region Chubu	163 16%	93 18%	71 15%	21 14%	35 14%	34 17%	36 18%	38 18%	163 100% JKLMNQ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region Kanto	344 34%	187 35%	156 33%	51 34%	84 35%	77 38%	61 31%	71 33%	-	344 100% IKLMNQ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region Kinki	214 21%	110 21%	103 22%	36 24%	44 18%	40 20%	50 25%	44 21%	-	-	214 100% IJLMNQ	-	-	-	-	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
Tel: 0208 263 5311

Analysis of Sample - Japan

16 May 2008
 Table 114

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan																
	Gender			Age					Region								
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	Chubu	Kanto	Kinki	Chugoku	Hokkaido	Kyushu	Ryuku	Shikoku	Tohoku
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	
Weighted Base	1002	529	474	148	239	204	197	212	163	344	214	51*	49*	85*	16**	24**	55*
Chugoku	51 5%	27 5%	24 5%	9 6%	13 5%	8 4%	9 4%	13 6%	-	-	-	51 100% IJKMNQ	-	-	-	-	-
Hokkaido	49 5%	28 5%	21 5%	9 6%	12 5%	11 5%	10 5%	8 4%	-	-	-	-	49 100% IJKLNQ	-	-	-	-
Kyushu	85 8%	41 8%	44 9%	11 7%	24 10%	17 8%	14 7%	20 9%	-	-	-	-	-	85 100% IJKLMQ	-	-	-
Ryukyu	16 2%	7 1%	10 2%	4 3%	5 2%	2 1%	3 2%	2 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	16 100%	-	-
Shikoku	24 2%	9 2%	15 3%	4 3%	9 4%	2 1%	6 3%	3 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24 100%	-
Tohoku	55 6%	26 5%	29 6%	4 3%	15 6%	14 7%	9 5%	13 6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55 100% IJKLMN

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L/M/N/O/P/Q
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - Japan

16 May 2008
 Table 115

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income							Working Status					
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000+ Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unemployed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Unweighted Base	1002	159	225	187	191	137	103	473	113	109	72	229	22
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Japan Total	1002	209	236	176	163	116	103	419	108	126	88	255	22
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Income													
Up to 3,000,000 Yen	209	209	-	-	-	-	-	53	22	37	27	67	3
	21%	100%						13%	20%	29%	30%	26%	14%
		CDEFG								H	H	H	
3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	236	-	236	-	-	-	-	123	22	29	10	51	8
	24%		100%					29%	21%	23%	11%	20%	37%
			BDEFG					KL					
5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	176	-	-	176	-	-	-	73	16	24	8	52	6
	18%			100%				17%	15%	19%	9%	20%	28%
				BCEFG								K	
7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	163	-	-	-	163	-	-	81	27	23	10	23	1
	16%				100%			19%	25%	18%	12%	9%	3%
					BCDFG			L	L	L			
10,000,000+ Yen	116	-	-	-	-	116	-	65	11	1	5	30	4
	12%					100%		15%	10%	1%	6%	12%	16%
						BCDEG		J	J			J	
Decline to answer	103	-	-	-	-	-	103	24	9	12	28	32	*
	10%						100%	6%	8%	10%	32%	13%	1%
							BCDEF				HIJL	H	
Working Status													
Employed	419	53	123	73	81	65	24	419	2	-	-	*	-
	42%	25%	52%	42%	50%	56%	23%	100%	2%			*	
			BG	BG	BG	BG		IJKL					
Self employed	108	22	22	16	27	11	9	2	108	3	-	2	-
	11%	11%	9%	9%	17%	10%	9%	*	100%	3%		1%	
									HJKL				
Working	126	37	29	24	23	1	12	-	3	126	8	2	-
	13%	18%	12%	14%	14%	1%	12%		3%	100%	9%	1%	
		F	F	F	F		F		H	HIKL	HL		
Student	88	27	10	8	10	5	28	-	-	8	88	-	-
	9%	13%	4%	4%	6%	4%	28%			6%	100%		
		CD					BCDEF			HIL	HIJL		

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - Japan

16 May 2008
 Table 115

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	Japan												
	Income						Working Status						
	Total	Up to 3,000,000 Yen	3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	10,000,000 + Yen	Decline to answer	Employed	Self employed	Working	Student	Unemplo- yed	Retired
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	
Weighted Base	1002	209	236	176	163	116*	103*	419	108*	126*	88*	255	22**
Unemployed	255 25%	67 32% E	51 22%	52 30% E	23 14%	30 26% E	32 31% E	*	2 2%	2 1%	-	255 100% HIJK	1 5%
Retired	22 2%	3 2%	8 3%	6 4%	1 *	4 3%	*	-	-	-	-	1 *	22 100%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F/G - H/I/J/K/L/M
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - China

16 May 2008
 Table 116

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier			Presence Of Children In Household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Unweighted Base	1011	593	418	436	376	94	75	30	311	211	135	354	726	138	147	417	594	204	558	180
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
China Total	1011 100%	584 100%	427 100%	448 100%	356 100%	99 100%	77 100%	32 100%	391 100%	185 100%	162 100%	273 100%	624 100%	170 100%	217 100%	463 100%	548 100%	310 100%	521 100%	112 100%
Gender Male	584 58%	584 100% C	-	221 49%	231 65% D	56 57%	56 73% D	19 60%	208 53%	99 54%	105 65%	172 63%	347 56%	104 61%	132 61%	274 59%	310 57%	206 67% S	282 54%	58 52%
Female	427 42%	-	427 100% B	226 51% EG	125 35%	42 43%	21 27%	13 40%	183 47%	86 46%	57 35%	101 37%	277 44%	66 39%	84 39%	189 41%	238 43%	104 33%	239 46% R	54 48%
Age 18-24	448 44%	221 38%	226 53% B	448 100% EFG	-	-	-	-	194 50%	71 38%	68 42%	115 42%	275 44%	75 44%	98 45%	148 32%	300 55% P	142 46%	214 41%	42 37%
25-35	356 35%	231 40% C	125 29%	-	356 100% DFG	-	-	-	128 33%	72 39%	54 33%	102 37%	241 39% O	57 33%	58 27%	191 41% Q	165 30%	109 35%	189 36%	43 39%
36-40	99 10%	56 10%	42 10%	-	-	99 100% DEG	-	-	33 8%	20 11%	22 13%	24 9%	61 10%	18 10%	20 9%	85 18% Q	14 2%	28 9%	64 12%	7 6%
41-50	77 8%	56 10%	21 5%	-	-	-	77 100% DEF	-	31 8%	21 11%	9 6%	17 6%	33 5%	15 9%	29 14% M	34 7%	43 8%	22 7%	43 8%	10 9%
51-60	32 3%	19 3%	13 3%	-	-	-	-	32 100%	5 1%	2 1%	9 6%	16 6% I	14 2%	6 4%	11 5%	5 1%	26 5% P	9 3%	11 2%	10 9% S
Region South	391 39%	208 36%	183 43%	194 43%	128 36%	33 33%	31 40%	5 17%	391 100% JKL	-	-	-	266 43% N	-	124 57% MN	183 40%	207 38%	96 31%	213 41%	49 44%
North & West	185 18%	99 17%	86 20%	71 16%	72 20%	20 20%	21 27%	2 5%	-	185 100% IKL	-	-	47 7%	82 48% MO	57 26% M	65 14%	120 22% P	66 21%	92 18%	18 16%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:

dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com

Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Analysis of Sample - China

16 May 2008
 Table 116

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

	China																			
	Gender			Age					Region				Tier			Presence Of Children In Household		Income		
	Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-35	36-40	41-50	51-60	South	North & West	Central	East	Tier 1 (Most Developed)	Tier 2	Tier 3 (Least Developed)	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	
Weighted Base	1011	584	427	448	356	99*	77*	32**	391	185*	162*	273	624	170*	217*	463	548	310	521	112*
Central	162 16%	105 18%	57 13%	68 15%	54 15%	22 22%	9 12%	9 28%	-	-	162 100% I JL	-	61 10%	66 39% MO	35 16%	78 17%	84 15%	62 20%	77 15%	12 11%
East	273 27%	172 30%	101 24%	115 26%	102 29%	24 25%	17 21%	16 50%	-	-	-	273 100% I JK	251 40% NO	23 13% O	-	137 30%	137 25%	86 28%	138 27%	32 29%
Tier 1 (Most Developed)	624 62%	347 59%	277 65%	275 61% G	241 68% G	61 62%	33 43%	14 44%	266 68% JK	47 25%	61 37%	251 92% I JK	624 100% NO	-	-	291 63%	333 61%	164 53%	330 63%	81 72% R
Tier 2	170 17%	104 18%	66 15%	75 17%	57 16%	18 18%	15 19%	6 21%	-	82 44% IL	66 41% IL	23 8% I	-	170 100% MO	-	74 16%	96 18%	59 19%	90 17%	14 12%
Tier 3 (Least Developed)	217 21%	132 23%	84 20%	98 22%	58 16%	20 20%	29 38% DE	11 35%	124 32% L	57 31% L	35 22% L	-	-	-	217 100% MN	98 21%	119 22%	87 28%	101 19%	17 15%
Presence of children in Household																				
Yes	463 46%	274 47%	189 44%	148 33%	191 54% D	85 86% DEG	34 44%	5 16%	183 47%	65 35%	78 48%	137 50% J	291 47%	74 44%	98 45%	463 100% Q	-	141 46%	239 46%	52 46%
No	548 54%	310 53%	238 56%	300 67% EF	165 46% F	14 14%	43 56% F	26 84%	207 53%	120 65% L	84 52%	137 50%	333 53%	96 56%	119 55%	-	548 100% P	168 54%	282 54%	60 54%
Income																				
Low	310 31%	206 35% C	104 24%	142 32%	109 31%	28 28%	22 28%	9 28%	96 25%	66 36%	62 38% I	86 31%	164 26%	59 34%	87 40% M	141 31%	168 31%	310 100% ST	-	-
Medium	521 52%	282 48%	239 56%	214 48%	189 53%	64 64%	43 56%	11 35%	213 55%	92 50%	77 48%	138 50%	330 53%	90 53%	101 47%	239 52%	282 51%	-	521 100% RT	-
High	112 11%	58 10%	54 13%	42 9%	43 12%	7 7%	10 13%	10 32%	49 12%	18 10%	12 8%	32 12%	81 13%	14 8%	17 8%	52 11%	60 11%	-	-	112 100% RS

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N/O - P/Q - R/S/T
 Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - GREAT BRITAIN (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 118

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1088	1088
Weighted Base	1088	1088
GENDER		

Male	535 49%	532 49%
Female	553 51%	556 51%
AGE		

16-24	181 17%	178 16%
25-34	269 25%	274 25%
35-44	250 23%	255 23%
45-54	209 19%	206 19%
55-64	179 16%	175 16%
REGION		

North	329 30%	324 30%
Midlands	320 29%	367 34%
South	439 40%	397 37%
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		

Yes	351 32%	390 36%
No	737 68%	698 64%
INCOME		

Low	119 11%	150 14%
Middle	314 29%	320 29%
Upper	411 38%	340 31%
Decline to answer	244 22%	278 26%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - FRANCE (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 119

Base: All French Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1288	1288
Weighted Base	1288	1288
GENDER		

Male	651 51%	637 49%
Female	637 49%	651 51%
AGE		

16-24	224 17%	225 17%
25-34	344 27%	311 24%
35-44	291 23%	317 25%
45-54	226 18%	219 17%
55-64	203 16%	217 17%
REGION		

Paris	369 29%	257 20%
North East	263 20%	315 24%
North West	206 16%	232 18%
Central	66 5%	85 7%
South East	260 20%	268 21%
South West	120 9%	126 10%
Mediterranean	4 *	5 *

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - FRANCE (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 119

Base: All French Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1288	1288
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		
Yes	478 37%	512 40%
No	810 63%	776 60%
INCOME		
Low	288 22%	323 25%
Middle	548 43%	577 45%
Upper	204 16%	132 10%
Decline to answer/ Not Answered	248 19%	256 20%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - ITALY (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 120

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1087	1087
Weighted Base	1087	1087
<u>GENDER</u>		
Male	533 49%	536 49%
Female	554 51%	551 51%
<u>AGE</u>		
18-24	183 17%	181 17%
25-34	261 24%	262 24%
35-44	226 21%	229 21%
45-54	219 20%	214 20%
55-64	198 18%	201 19%
<u>REGION</u>		
North	692 64%	565 52%
Central	223 21%	204 19%
South	172 16%	318 29%
<u>PRESENCE OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD</u>		
Yes	310 29%	328 30%
No	777 71%	758 70%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - ITALY (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
Table 120

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1087	1087
INCOME		

Low	157 14%	184 17%
Middle	339 31%	312 29%
Upper	175 16%	126 12%
Decline to answer	416 38%	465 43%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - SPAIN (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 121

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1120	1120
Weighted Base	1120	1120
GENDER		

Male	553 49%	558 50%
Female	567 51%	562 50%
AGE		

16-24	221 20%	217 19%
25-34	277 25%	284 25%
35-44	246 22%	229 20%
45-54	184 16%	192 17%
55-64	192 17%	198 18%
REGION		

Northwest	122 11%	119 11%
North Central	207 18%	212 19%
Northeast	249 22%	213 19%
Central	233 21%	202 18%
Central Coast	114 10%	146 13%
South	135 12%	159 14%
Islands	60 5%	70 6%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - SPAIN (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 121

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1120	1120
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		

Yes	355 32%	353 32%
No	765 68%	767 68%
INCOME		

Low	211 19%	267 24%
Middle	425 38%	398 35%
Upper	139 12%	87 8%
Decline to answer/ Not Answered	345 31%	369 33%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - GERMANY (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 122

Base: All German Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1106	1106
Weighted Base	1106	1106
GENDER -----		
Male	554 50%	556 50%
Female	552 50%	550 50%
AGE -----		
16-24	168 15%	169 15%
25-34	274 25%	277 25%
35-44	231 21%	226 20%
45-54	242 22%	240 22%
55-64	191 17%	194 18%
REGION -----		
North	638 58%	644 58%
Central	73 7%	88 8%
South	67 6%	71 6%
East	200 18%	202 18%
West	128 12%	102 9%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - GERMANY (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 122

Base: All German Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1106	1106

PRESENCE OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		
Yes	249 23%	249 23%
No	857 77%	857 77%

INCOME		
Low	279 25%	288 26%
Middle	357 32%	332 30%
Upper	153 14%	133 12%
Decline to answer/ Not Answered	317 29%	354 32%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - JAPAN (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 123

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1002	1002
Weighted Base	1002	1002
GENDER		

Male	541 54%	529 53%
Female	461 46%	474 47%
AGE		

18-24	141 14%	148 15%
25-34	241 24%	239 24%
35-44	215 21%	204 20%
45-54	213 21%	197 20%
55-64	192 19%	212 21%
REGION		

Chubu	151 15%	163 16%
Kanto	371 37%	344 34%
Kinki	209 21%	214 21%
Chugoku	48 5%	51 5%
Hokkaido	45 4%	49 5%
Kyushu	85 8%	85 8%
Ryukyu	11 1%	16 2%
Shikoku	26 3%	24 2%
Tohoku	56 6%	55 6%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikrantis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - JAPAN (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 123

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1002	1002
INCOME		

Up to 3,000,000 Yen	159 16%	209 21%
3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	225 22%	236 24%
5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	187 19%	176 18%
7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	191 19%	163 16%
10,000,000+ Yen	137 14%	116 12%
Decline to answer	103 10%	103 10%
WORKING STATUS		

Employed	473 47%	419 42%
Self employed	113 11%	108 11%
Working	109 11%	126 13%
Student	72 7%	88 9%
Unemployed	229 23%	255 25%
Retired	22 2%	22 2%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - CHINA (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 124

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1011	1011
Weighted Base	1011	1011
GENDER		

Male	593 59%	584 58%
Female	418 41%	427 42%
AGE		

18-24	436 43%	448 44%
25-35	376 37%	356 35%
36-40	94 9%	99 10%
41-50	75 7%	77 8%
51-60	30 3%	32 3%
REGION		

South	311 31%	391 39%
North & West	211 21%	185 18%
Central	135 13%	162 16%
East	354 35%	273 27%
TIER		

Tier 1 (Most Developed)	726 72%	624 62%
Tier 2	138 14%	170 17%
Tier 3 (Least Developed)	147 15%	217 21%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

CLASSIFICATION TABLES - CHINA (DEMOGRAPHIC)

16 May 2008
 Table 124

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1011	1011
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD		

Yes	417 41%	463 46%
No	594 59%	548 54%
INCOME		

Low	204 20%	310 31%
Medium	558 55%	521 52%
High	180 18%	112 11%
Decline to answer/No Income	69 7%	68 7%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GREAT BRITAIN

16 May 2008
 Table 125

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1088	1088
Weighted Base	1088	1088
<u>AGE CATEGORIES</u>		
16-24	181 17%	178 16%
25-34	269 25%	274 25%
35-44	250 23%	255 23%
45-54	209 19%	206 19%
55-64	179 16%	175 16%
<u>GENDER</u>		
Male	535 49%	532 49%
Female	553 51%	556 51%
<u>EDUCATION</u>		
GCSE/O-Level/CSE	199 18%	264 24%
Vocational qualifications	78 7%	86 8%
A-Level/Scottish Higher or equivalent	231 21%	167 15%
Bachelor Degree or equivalent	310 28%	186 17%
Master's/Ph.D or equivalent	122 11%	54 5%
Other	45 4%	122 11%
No formal qualifications	45 4%	142 13%
Still studying	58 5%	67 6%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GREAT BRITAIN

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1088	1088
ITV REGION		

Scottish TV/Grampian (Scotland)	92 8%	80 7%
Border TV (Border)	10 1%	11 1%
Tyne Tees TV (North East)	55 5%	38 4%
Granada TV (North West)	113 10%	123 11%
Yorkshire TV (Yorkshire)	87 8%	100 9%
Central TV (Midlands)	153 14%	182 17%
ITV (Wales and West)	98 9%	97 9%
Anglia TV (East)	90 8%	102 9%
Carlton/LWT (London)	205 19%	217 20%
Meridian (South & South East)	134 12%	109 10%
West Country TV (South West)	50 5%	27 2%
Ulster TV (Northern Ireland)	1 *	1 *
PROPENSITY QUINTILE		

Quintile 1	346 32%	249 23%
Quintile 2	345 32%	227 21%
Quintile 3	124 11%	190 18%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GREAT BRITAIN

16 May 2008
 Table 125

Base: All GB Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1088	1088
Quintile 4	95 9%	169 16%
Quintile 5	71 7%	142 13%
Missing	107 10%	110 10%

INTERNET USAGE PER WEEK

0-5 Hours Per Week	147 14%	304 28%
6-10 Hours Per Week	265 24%	292 27%
11-20 Hours Per Week	353 32%	284 26%
21+ Hours Per Week	323 30%	208 19%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Base: All French Adults 16-64

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - FRANCE

16 May 2008
 Table 126

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1288	1288
Weighted Base	1288	1288
AGE CATEGORIES		

16-24	224 17%	225 17%
25-34	344 27%	311 24%
35-44	291 23%	317 25%
45-54	226 18%	219 17%
55-64	203 16%	217 17%
GENDER		

Male	651 51%	637 49%
Female	637 49%	651 51%
EDUCATION		

CAP / BEP	127 10%	342 27%
Baccalaureat (All forms)	792 61%	662 51%
DESS/DEA/Mastere	216 17%	77 6%
Doctorat	55 4%	23 2%
Still studying	69 5%	112 9%
No degree	29 2%	72 6%
PROVINCE		

Alsace	44 3%	39 3%
Aquitaine	56 4%	65 5%
Auvergne	20 2%	26 2%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Base: All French Adults 16-64

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - FRANCE

16 May 2008
 Table 126

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1288	1288
Basse-Normandie	27 2%	29 2%
Bourgogne	30 2%	37 3%
Bretagne	65 5%	67 5%
Centre	33 3%	42 3%
Champagne-Ardenne	23 2%	31 2%
Corse	4 *	5 *
Franche-Comte	16 1%	25 2%
Ile de France	368 29%	256 20%
Haute-Normandie	30 2%	36 3%
Languedoc-Roussillon	62 5%	52 4%
Limousin	12 1%	16 1%
Lorraine	40 3%	49 4%
Midi-Pyrenees	63 5%	59 5%
Nord Pas-de-Calais	77 6%	88 7%
Pays de la Loire	56 4%	70 5%
Picardie	33 3%	43 3%
Poite-Charentes	30 2%	36 3%
Provence-Alpes-Cote-d'Azur	83 6%	88 7%
Rhone Alps	116 9%	128 10%
<u>PROPENSITY QUINTILE</u>		
Quintile 1	526 41%	281 22%
Quintile 2	312 24%	274 21%
Quintile 3	195 15%	247 19%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Base: All French Adults 16-64

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - FRANCE

16 May 2008
 Table 126

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1288	1288
Quintile 4	177 14%	304 24%
Quintile 5	78 6%	182 14%
<u>INTERNET USAGE PER WEEK</u>		
0-5 Hours Per Week	255 20%	324 25%
6-10 Hours Per Week	302 23%	377 29%
11-20 Hours Per Week	387 30%	323 25%
21+ Hours Per Week	344 27%	264 21%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - ITALY

16 May 2008
 Table 127

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1087	1087
Weighted Base	1087	1087
AGE CATEGORIES		

18-24	183 17%	181 17%
25-34	261 24%	262 24%
35-44	226 21%	229 21%
45-54	219 20%	214 20%
55-64	198 18%	201 19%
GENDER		

Male	533 49%	536 49%
Female	554 51%	551 51%
EDUCATION		

A levels / other high school qualifications/ No Formal Qualifications	407 37%	696 64%
Some college, but no degree	215 20%	104 10%
1st and 2nd Level Degrees	291 27%	120 11%
Masters/PhD or equivalent	100 9%	47 4%
Still Studying	74 7%	121 11%
REGION		

Abruzzo	16 1%	24 2%
Basilicata	5 *	12 1%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - ITALY

16 May 2008
 Table 127

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1087	1087
Calabria	9 1%	24 2%
Campania	58 5%	106 10%
Emilia Romagna	89 8%	77 7%
Friuli Venezia Giulia	41 4%	24 2%
Lazio	138 13%	104 10%
Liguria	40 4%	31 3%
Lombardia	249 23%	181 17%
Marche	21 2%	28 3%
Molise	1 *	5 *
Piemonte	99 9%	84 8%
Puglia	53 5%	77 7%
Sardegna	39 4%	33 3%
Sicilia	46 4%	94 9%
Toscana	78 7%	68 6%
Trentino Alto Adige	20 2%	18 2%
Umbria	9 1%	16 1%
Valle d'Aosta	4 *	2 *
Veneto	72 7%	79 7%
<u>PROPENSITY QUINTILE</u>		
Quintile 1	397 37%	228 21%
Quintile 2	199 18%	198 18%
Quintile 3	157 14%	177 16%
Quintile 4	76 7%	155 14%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - ITALY

16 May 2008
Table 127

Base: All Italian Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1087	1087
Quintile 5	65 6%	141 13%
Missing	193 18%	187 17%
<u>INTERNET USAGE PER WEEK</u>		
0-5 Hours Per Week	161 15%	255 23%
6-10 Hours Per Week	259 24%	280 26%
11-20 Hours Per Week	313 29%	274 25%
21+ Hours Per Week	354 33%	277 26%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - SPAIN

16 May 2008
 Table 128

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1120	1120
Weighted Base	1120	1120
<u>AGE CATEGORIES</u>		
16-24	221 20%	217 19%
25-34	277 25%	284 25%
35-44	246 22%	229 20%
45-54	184 16%	192 17%
55-64	192 17%	198 18%
<u>GENDER</u>		
Male	553 49%	558 50%
Female	567 51%	562 50%
<u>EDUCATION</u>		
No Formal Quals + Secundaria + Bachillerato	368 33%	645 58%
Estudios Universitarios no finalizados	172 15%	149 13%
Diplomatura/Licenciatura	427 38%	129 12%
Masters/PhD or equivalent	103 9%	69 6%
Still Studying	50 4%	128 11%
<u>REGION</u>		
Alicante/Alacant	28 3%	45 4%
Asturias	54 5%	36 3%
Illes Balears	23 2%	23 2%
Barcelona	171 15%	135 12%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - SPAIN

16 May 2008
 Table 128

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1120	1120
Cadiz	23 2%	31 3%
Coruna	35 3%	40 4%
Granada	13 1%	17 2%
Madrid	7 1%	9 1%
Malaga	188 17%	151 14%
Murcia	26 2%	32 3%
Las Palmas	7 1%	12 1%
Pontevedra	6 1%	7 1%
Santa Cruz de Tenerife	19 2%	23 2%
Sevilla	17 2%	23 2%
Valencia	3 *	3 *
Vizcaya	56 5%	56 5%
Zaragoza	42 4%	35 3%
ALL OTHERS	402 36%	440 39%
PROPENSITY QUINTILE		
Quintile 1	360 32%	218 19%
Quintile 2	229 20%	185 17%
Quintile 3	122 11%	179 16%
Quintile 4	127 11%	180 16%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - SPAIN

16 May 2008
 Table 128

Base: All Spanish Adults 16-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1120	1120
Quintile 5	45 4%	116 10%
Missing	237 21%	241 22%
<u>INTERNET USAGE PER WEEK</u>		
0-5 Hours Per Week	236 21%	326 29%
6-10 Hours Per Week	282 25%	285 25%
11-20 Hours Per Week	326 29%	282 25%
21+ Hours Per Week	276 25%	228 20%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

Base: All German Adults 16-64

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GERMANY

16 May 2008
 Table 129

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1106	1106
Weighted Base	1106	1106
AGE CATEGORIES -----		
16-24	168 15%	169 15%
25-34	274 25%	277 25%
35-44	231 21%	226 20%
45-54	242 22%	240 22%
55-64	191 17%	194 18%
GENDER -----		
Male	554 50%	556 50%
Female	552 50%	550 50%
EDUCATION -----		
Hauptschule	41 4%	102 9%
Mittlere Reife	131 12%	184 17%
Abitur	152 14%	173 16%
Fachhochschulabschluss	145 13%	108 10%
Hochschulabschluss	161 15%	134 12%
Promotion	24 2%	14 1%
Abgeschlossene Lehre	306 28%	285 26%
Meisterbrief	33 3%	52 5%
Andere Qualifikation	45 4%	32 3%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Base: All German Adults 16-64

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GERMANY

16 May 2008
 Table 129

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1106	1106
Student/in/Auszubildende/r	64 6%	21 2%
Keine abgeschlossene Qualifikation	4 *	2 *
REGION		

Baden-Wuerttemberg	15 1%	24 2%
Bayern	89 8%	106 10%
Berlin	43 4%	55 5%
Brandenburg	13 1%	15 1%
Bremen	33 3%	36 3%
Hamburg	187 17%	167 15%
Hessen	24 2%	23 2%
Mecklenburg-Vorpommern	83 8%	60 5%
Niedersachsen	79 7%	46 4%
Nordrhein-Westfalen	8 1%	9 1%
Rheinland-Pfalz	93 8%	81 7%
Saarland	200 18%	235 21%
Sachsen	30 3%	35 3%
Sachsen-Anhalt	36 3%	38 3%
Schleswig-Holstein	145 13%	144 13%
Thuringen	28 3%	31 3%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

Base: All German Adults 16-64

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GERMANY

16 May 2008
 Table 129

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1106	1106
<u>PROPENSITY QUINTILE</u>		
Quintile 1	347 31%	184 17%
Quintile 2	236 21%	183 17%
Quintile 3	149 13%	182 16%
Quintile 4	96 9%	178 16%
Quintile 5	70 6%	168 15%
Missing	208 19%	211 19%
<u>INTERNET USAGE PER WEEK</u>		
0-5 Hours Per Week	129 12%	288 26%
6-10 Hours Per Week	249 23%	279 25%
11-20 Hours Per Week	345 31%	280 25%
21+ Hours Per Week	383 35%	259 23%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - JAPAN

16 May 2008
 Table 130

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1002	1002
Weighted Base	1002	1002
AGE		

Male 18-24	69 7%	76 8%
Male 25-34	120 12%	121 12%
Male 35-44	108 11%	103 10%
Male 45-54	134 13%	124 12%
Male 55-64	110 11%	104 10%
Female 18-24	72 7%	72 7%
Female 25-34	121 12%	118 12%
Female 35-44	107 11%	101 10%
Female 45-54	79 8%	73 7%
Female 55-64	82 8%	109 11%
EDUCATION		

High School degree or Less	395 39%	723 72%
Junior College Degree	160 16%	125 12%
BA or University Degree	447 45%	153 15%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - JAPAN

16 May 2008
 Table 130

Base: All Japanese Adults 18-64

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1002	1002
INCOME		

Up to 3,000,000 Yen	159 16%	209 21%
3,000,000 - 4,999,999 Yen	225 22%	236 24%
5,000,000 - 6,999,999 Yen	187 19%	176 18%
7,000,000 - 9,999,999 Yen	191 19%	163 16%
10,000,000+ Yen	137 14%	116 12%
Decline to answer	103 10%	103 10%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - CHINA

16 May 2008
 Table 131

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

	Unweighted	Weighted
Unweighted Base	1011	1011
Weighted Base	1011	1011
GENDER		

Male	593 59%	584 58%
Female	418 41%	427 42%
AGE		

18-24	436 43%	448 44%
25-35	376 37%	356 35%
36-40	94 9%	99 10%
41-50	75 7%	77 8%
51-60	30 3%	32 3%
REGION		

Guangdong	145 14%	160 16%
Shandong	93 9%	95 9%
Zhejiang	51 5%	62 6%
Jiangsu	75 7%	76 8%
Beijing	99 10%	47 5%
Shanghai	148 15%	58 6%
Sichuan	41 4%	45 4%
Hebei	34 3%	45 4%
All other	325 32%	422 42%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

WEIGHTING VARIABLES - CHINA

16 May 2008
 Table 131

Base: All Chinese Adults 18-60

	Unweighted	Weighted
Weighted Base	1011	1011
EDUCATION		

College or less	158 16%	540 53%
Bachelor degree	639 63%	295 29%
Post graduate	97 10%	34 3%
Other	117 12%	142 14%

GOT A QUESTION ABOUT THE DATA? WE ARE HERE TO HELP. PLEASE CONTACT A MEMBER OF THE TEAM:
 dbottle@harrisinteractive.com OR ikranitis@harrisinteractive.com
 Tel: 0208 263 5311

www.harrisinteractive.com/europe

16 May 2008
 THE HARRIS POLL GLOBAL OMNIBUS
 J6867 MAY PAN EURO 2008
 HARRIS INTERACTIVE
 A446 FT - ECONOMIC STATE OF THE COUNTRY AND CHINA
 FIELD PERIOD: 30th APRIL - 12th MAY 2008

Page Table Title

Page	Table	Title
1	1	Q1300 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of [INSERT PRESIDENT NAME] at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
2	2	Q1305 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
3	3	Q1310 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
4	4	Q1315 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of [INSERT COUNTRY]'s foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
5	5	Q1320 Is the gap between the rich and poor in [INSERT COUNTRY] too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
6	6	Q1325 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
7	7	Q1330 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in [INSERT COUNTRY]? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
8	8	Q1335 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in [INSERT COUNTRY]? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
9	9	Q1340 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in [INSERT COUNTRY] to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
10	10	Q1345 How much responsibility should the government of [INSERT COUNTRY] take to ensure that the values of homes in [INSERT COUNTRY] do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
11	11	Q1350 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
13	12	Q1300_1 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Gordon Brown at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
14	13	Q1300_2 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Nicolas Sarkozy at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
15	14	Q1300_3 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Silvio Berlusconi at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
16	15	Q1300_4 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Jose Luis Rodriguez Zapatero at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
17	16	Q1300_5 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Angela Merkel at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
18	17	Q1300_6 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Yasuo Fukuda at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
19	18	Q1300_6 How much would you support or oppose the attendance of Yasuo Fukuda at the opening of the Olympic Games in China in 2008? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
20	20	Q1305_1 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
21	21	Q1305_2 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
22	22	Q1305_3 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
23	23	Q1305_4 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
24	24	Q1305_5 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
25	25	Q1305_6 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
26	26	Q1305_6 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
27	28	Q1305_7 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent global protests surrounding the freeing of Tibet from Chinese rule? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
28	29	Q1310_1 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
29	30	Q1310_2 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
30	31	Q1310_3 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

Page	Table	Title
31	32	Q1310_4 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
32	33	Q1310_5 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
33	34	Q1310_6 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
34	35	Q1310_6 Some people want Tibet to be a sovereign nation not under Chinese rule, while others believe that Tibet is a natural region of China and should remain under Chinese rule. What do you think...? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
35	37	Q1315_1 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of the UK's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
36	38	Q1315_2 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of France's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
37	39	Q1315_3 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Italy's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
38	40	Q1315_4 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Spain's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
39	41	Q1315_5 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Germany's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
40	42	Q1315_6 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Japan's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
41	43	Q1315_6 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of Japan's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
42	45	Q1315_7 In your opinion, should human rights be a central feature of China's foreign policy? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
43	46	Q1320_1 Is the gap between the rich and poor in the UK too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
44	47	Q1320_2 Is the gap between the rich and poor in France too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
45	48	Q1320_3 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Italy too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
46	49	Q1320_4 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Spain too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
47	50	Q1320_5 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Germany too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
48	51	Q1320_6 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Japan too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
49	52	Q1320_6 Is the gap between the rich and poor in Japan too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
50	54	Q1320_7 Is the gap between the rich and poor in China too narrow, too wide or about right? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
51	55	Q1325_1 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
52	56	Q1325_2 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
53	57	Q1325_3 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
54	58	Q1325_4 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
55	59	Q1325_5 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
56	60	Q1325_6 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
57	61	Q1325_6 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
58	63	Q1325_7 Do you expect the gap between rich and poor to increase, decrease or stay the same in the next 5 years? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
59	64	Q1330_1 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in the UK? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

Page	Table	Title
60	65	Q1330_2 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in France? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
61	66	Q1330_3 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Italy? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
62	67	Q1330_4 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Spain? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
63	68	Q1330_5 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Germany? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
64	69	Q1330_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Japan? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
65	70	Q1330_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in Japan? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
66	72	Q1330_7 What should the government do with regard to taxes on wealthy people in China? Do you think the government should tax the wealthy more, less or do you think they should keep taxes on the wealthy at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
67	73	Q1335_1 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in the UK? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
68	74	Q1335_2 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in France? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
69	75	Q1335_3 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Italy? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
70	76	Q1335_4 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Spain? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
71	77	Q1335_5 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Germany? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
72	78	Q1335_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Japan? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
73	79	Q1335_6 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in Japan? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
74	81	Q1335_7 What should the government do with regard to taxes for those on a low income in China? Do you think the government should tax those on a lower income more, less or do you think they should keep taxes for those on a low income at their current levels? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
75	82	Q1340_1 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in the UK to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
76	83	Q1340_2 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in France to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
77	84	Q1340_3 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Italy to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
78	85	Q1340_4 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Spain to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
79	86	Q1340_5 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Germany to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
80	87	Q1340_6 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Japan to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
81	88	Q1340_6 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in Japan to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
82	90	Q1340_7 Thinking to the next 12 months, do you expect house prices in China to go up, come down or remain the same as they are today? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
83	91	Q1345_1 How much responsibility should the government of the UK take to ensure that the values of homes in the UK do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
84	92	Q1345_2 How much responsibility should the government of France take to ensure that the values of homes in France do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population

16 May 2008
 THE HARRIS POLL GLOBAL OMNIBUS
 J6867 MAY PAN EURO 2008
 HARRIS INTERACTIVE
 A446 FT - ECONOMIC STATE OF THE COUNTRY AND CHINA
 FIELD PERIOD: 30th APRIL - 12th MAY 2008

Page	Table	Title
85	93	Q1345_3 How much responsibility should the government of Italy take to ensure that the values of homes in Italy do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
86	94	Q1345_4 How much responsibility should the government of Spain take to ensure that the values of homes in Spain do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
87	95	Q1345_5 How much responsibility should the government of Germany take to ensure that the values of homes in Germany do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
88	96	Q1345_6 How much responsibility should the government of Japan take to ensure that the values of homes in Japan do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
89	97	Q1345_6 How much responsibility should the government of Japan take to ensure that the values of homes in Japan do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
90	99	Q1345_7 How much responsibility should the government of China take to ensure that the values of homes in China do not decline substantially? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
91	100	Q1350_1 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
93	101	Q1350_2 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
95	102	Q1350_3 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
97	103	Q1350_4 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
99	104	Q1350_5 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
101	105	Q1350_6 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
103	106	Q1350_6 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
105	108	Q1350_7 Which one, if any, of the following countries do you think is the greatest threat to global stability? This Question Was Weighted To The General Population
106	109	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - Great Britain
108	110	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - France
110	111	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - Italy
112	112	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - Spain
114	113	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - Germany
116	114	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - Japan
118	115	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - Japan
120	116	This Question Was Weighted To The General Population Analysis of Sample - China
122	118	CLASSIFICATION TABLES - GREAT BRITAIN (DEMOGRAPHIC)
123	119	CLASSIFICATION TABLES - FRANCE (DEMOGRAPHIC)
125	120	CLASSIFICATION TABLES - ITALY (DEMOGRAPHIC)
127	121	CLASSIFICATION TABLES - SPAIN (DEMOGRAPHIC)
129	122	CLASSIFICATION TABLES - GERMANY (DEMOGRAPHIC)
131	123	CLASSIFICATION TABLES - JAPAN (DEMOGRAPHIC)
133	124	CLASSIFICATION TABLES - CHINA (DEMOGRAPHIC)
135	125	WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GREAT BRITAIN
138	126	WEIGHTING VARIABLES - FRANCE
141	127	WEIGHTING VARIABLES - ITALY
144	128	WEIGHTING VARIABLES - SPAIN
147	129	WEIGHTING VARIABLES - GERMANY
150	130	WEIGHTING VARIABLES - JAPAN

16 May 2008
THE HARRIS POLL GLOBAL OMNIBUS
J6867 MAY PAN EURO 2008
HARRIS INTERACTIVE
A446 FT - ECONOMIC STATE OF THE COUNTRY AND CHINA
FIELD PERIOD: 30th APRIL - 12th MAY 2008

Page Table Title

152 131 WEIGHTING VARIABLES - CHINA